An Efficient Workflow for Representing Real-world Urban Environments in Game Engines using Open-source Software and Data

Arash Shahbaz Badr^{©a} and Raffaele De Amicis^{©b}

School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Oregon State University, SW Park Terrace, Corvallis, OR, U.S.A.

- Keywords: GIS, Virtual Geographic Environments, Smart Cities, Geovisualization, Immersive Environments, Open-source, Game Engines.
- Abstract: Game engines (GEs) constitute a powerful platform for visualizing real geographies in immersive virtual space, and in the last two years, remarkable strides have been made by the leading providers of Geographic Information System (GIS) software and services, including Esri and Cesium, toward integrating their products in GEs. Notwithstanding the strengths of GEs, they lack support for many common GIS file formats, and there exist only limited georeferencing possibilities. Visualizing large-scale geolocations involves high authoring costs, and the shortcomings of GEs further complicate the workflow. In this paper, we present a workflow and its implementation for creating large immersive virtual environments that accurately represent real-world urban areas. The benefits of the presented development are threefold. First, it makes the process more efficient by automating multiple steps and incorporating a large portion of the workflow inside the GE. Second, it facilitates an interactive framework by allowing the developer to efficiently extend the scene components with functionalities and interactions. Third, it entirely relies on open-source software and data, making it suitable for many non-commercial domains. To showcase the effectiveness of the tool, we created a virtual replica of an actual city consisting of the terrain, the streets, and the buildings.

1 INTRODUCTION

Immersive technologies constitute an excellent medium for visualizing geospatial data. The conventional 2D means of representing such data may strip away important spatial information, and at the same time, increase the cognitive demand from the users by requiring them to obtain and understand inherently 3D information through 2D representations (Lütjens et al., 2019). Immersing users in the 3D space allows them to experience the space in a "close-to-natural perspective," resulting in better spatial perception of the visualized environment (Keil et al., 2021). Interactivity is another strength of immersive technologies. Using traditional input systems, such as mouse and keyboard, for interacting with 3D spatial data is less intuitive and often requires more involved user interfaces, making the interaction less efficient (Kellogg et al., 2008; Çöltekin et al., 2016).

In recent years, game engines (GEs), such as Unity and Unreal Engine, have demonstrated to be effective tools for a diverse set of domains, including geovisualization (Laksono and Aditya, 2019). GEs are capable of visualizing high-fidelity representa-

^b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6435-4364

DOI: 10.5220/0010916900003124

ISBN: 978-989-758-555-5; ISSN: 2184-4321

Copyright © 2022 by SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications, Lda. All rights reserved

tions of data in the 3D virtual space and improving the user experience with interaction, such as navigation, exploration, and manipulation. Most GEs also facilitate creation of cross-platform applications supported by many devices, including virtual and augmented reality (VR and AR) headsets. They also empower the developers with many useful capabilities such as physics simulation, spatial sound, animation, and artificial intelligence (Petridis et al., 2012). Lastly, the availability of powerful, free GEs makes them a cost-effective alternative to many traditional software used for visualization and simulation, especially in non-corporate research settings. These strengths make GEs an attractive alternative to traditional GIS visualization software (Carbonell-Carrera et al., 2021). GEs, however, have not been developed for the purpose of processing geospatial data. Therefore, they generally have insufficient support for geospatial data and georeferencing (Laksono and Aditya, 2019). Additionally, creating large-scale, interactive, true-to-reality virtual urban environments is a complex and time-consuming task, giving rise to

^a https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7181-6662

Shahbaz Badr, A. and De Amicis, R. An Efficient Workflow for Representing Real-world Urban Environments in Game Engines using Open-source Software and Data

In Proceedings of the 17th International Joint Conference on Computer Vision, Imaging and Computer Graphics Theory and Applications (VISIGRAPP 2022) - Volume 1: GRAPP, pages 103-114

high authoring costs. This paper introduces a process, discusses the methodology applied, and describes the tools used for representing the real-world in immersive virtual space. The proposed approach allows developers to efficiently extend the environment with customized user interactions, and it solely utilizes open-source software and data, making it functional for a wide range of domains and use cases.

It is worth emphasizing that the strength of our contribution is not only facilitating the efficient development of large urban virtual environments with open software and data. Beyond that, it enables the developers to easily extend the environment with functionalities and interactions to customize it to their particular usage scenario. This includes the procedural creation and enhancement of functional and interactive elements by benefiting from the metadata and other sources of semantic information. This capability is crucial for many use cases that involve reasonablylarge geo-environments, where the manual handling of such elements is impracticable, if not impossible.

1.1 Problem Statement

The process of replicating a real-world place in a virtual world is a time-consuming process that normally comprises many steps and involves different software. For visualizing a realistic terrain, for instance, the developer will likely use a GIS software and/or web service to acquire the elevation data of the desired area, and subsequently, use an image processing tool to convert the GIS data to a heightmap image in a format supported by the GE. When creating the terrain in the GE, the developer has to calculate the appropriate scaling in the horizontal and vertical directions to ensure that the visualized terrain has the same dimensions as the real-world location. Once the terrain is produced, the features on the earth's surface, such as soil, sand, and water, have to be visualized on top of the terrain, for example, based on a satellite image or land cover map of the area.

Once the terrain is generated, the environment has to be populated with 3D representations of natural and man-made entities to increase the realism of the visualization. Based on the given use case, this may include flora and fauna, water bodies, buildings, streets, and urban furniture. For this purpose, 3D geometries and textures have to be produced or obtained that look reasonably similar to the real-world objects found in the area. These objects have to be then distributed throughout the scene in a manner that accurately reflects the characteristics of the real environment. Manual creation and placing of these items is tedious and would be unfeasible in large-scale natural or urban spaces. For such use cases, procedural methods can make the workflow more efficient.

Besides the visual representations, the environment may need to be enhanced with further capabilities, such as user interactions, simulations, data visualizations, animations, and sounds. Integration of user interactions is particularly important for immersive geographical applications. MacEachren et al. (1999) have recognized interactivity as one of the *four I's* essential for virtual geo-environments. Similarly, Hruby et al. (2019) defined interaction as one of the criteria necessary for forming spatial presence in such environments. Data-driven creation of functional and interactive scene objects would significantly improve the workflow and reduce the authoring costs.

Performing the described steps is time consuming and prone to error, which often involves extensive manual work (Lütjens et al., 2019) and is difficult to automate (Gruen, 2008). Moreover, parts of this workflow may need to be repeated each time a change in the environment is necessary, and the process often requires a trial-and-error approach until satisfactory results are achieved (Herwig and Paar, 2002), which further impedes the development. Accordingly, authoring costs remain a restricting factor for integrating geospatial data in virtual environments (de Amicis et al., 2020). Therefore, we identify a need for defining and implementing a cost-effective workflow that is primarily contained in the game engine to simplify the creation of interactive urban virtual environments.

In the remainder of this article, first, an overview of the relevant previous work is provided. In section 3, the proposed methodology is described in detail. Section 4 discusses a use case that was developed to test the workflow. Lastly, the conclusion and outlook are articulated in section 5.

2 PREVIOUS WORK

The acquisition, management, analysis, and visualization of three-dimensional databases for urban areas have become a topic of growing interest to the scientific community (Prandi et al., 2015). The use of immersive technologies for exploring and analyzing geospatial data started gaining traction within the geography and cartography communities as early as the 1990s (Fischer and Openshaw, 1995; Batty, 1997). Since then, simulations based on 3D visualization of very large CityGML models have become standard applications for planning purposes. One example of such applications is the large-scale assessment and visualization of the energy performance of building stocks conducted within the SUNSHINE project to allow citizens, public administrations, and government agencies to perform citywide analyses (Giovannini et al., 2014). Comprehensive representations of the urban environment from the geometrical, semantic, and appearance points of view are essential for designing innovative interaction paradigms. For instance, Gune et al. (2018) showcased a multimodal representation of geospatial data through sonifying semantic data in a virtual urban environment concurrent with the visual experience. There are also several examples of digital representations of cities developed for utilizing VR and AR technologies for the simulation of Smart City applications (Thompson et al., 2006). Nevertheless, urban virtual environments have proved on various occasions to be quite problematic. One area of major concern is the capabilities of those environments to entirely describe all the complex forms of the urban setup and the voluminous data involved (Liu, 2020).

The process used for creating and enhancing different immersive geographical environments has been outlined by multiple researchers. An interesting work-flow was developed by Henry (2018), where a kite was deployed to capture a large number of aerial images of the site. Using photogrammetry software, a digital elevation model (DEM) and an orthophoto of the area were generated. A number of the reviewed workflows focused on benefiting from open-source and public GIS data to facilitate the creation and modification of virtual environments. Keil et al. (2021) described a workflow for accessing and processing such data while distinguishing between data acquired from official sources such as governmental agencies versus sources created and maintained by the online communities such as Open Street Map (OSM). Edler et al. (2018) also studied the use of OSM data for enhancing the virtual environment with 3D objects and metadata. The use of open data is a cost-effective solution for creating geo-environments and gives developers access to a vast amount of geospatial data from various official and non-official sources. However, this also heightens challenges associated with combining data of varying resolutions and different file formats.

Procedural creation of virtual worlds has also been investigated. Smelik et al. (2014) and Kelly and Mc-Cabe (2017) provide extensive surveys of such efforts and identify a set of criteria for these systems such as: The environment should look realistic and nonhomogeneous; System's input requirements should be minimal and intuitive; Developers should be able to control the geometry generation and edit the outcome; System should be seamlessly integrated in the development workflow. Robles-Ortega et al. (2013) argued that procedural approaches have not been fully utilized in many domains, since most of them focus on creating imaginary virtual worlds rather than recreating real locations. They developed a procedural approach for generating real-world streets based on cadastral GIS data that ensures the realistic representation of the shape and slope of streets and their intersections. A further approach for reconstructing realworld cities is to use artificial intelligence and computer vision techniques to extract urban entities from airborne laser scans or images. Bulatov et al. (2014), for instance, utilized this approach to automatically generate building geometries and used UAV recordings to apply realistic textures to the buildings.

Aside from the academic work, utilizing GEs for geovisualization has also become an active field in the industry, which is apparent from the big investments made in the recent years. Two of the major suppliers of GIS software and services, Esri and Cesium, announced the integration of their platforms with Unity and Unreal Engine in 2020 and 2021. The integration facilitates the streaming of topography, 3D geometries, and raster imagery from the web, or in the case of ArcGIS Maps, alternatively through local files. This capability allows developers to visualize virtually any location on earth with minimal effort. There are, however, drawbacks too. Making changes to the streamed environment is cumbersome, since rather than utilizing the GE editor, modifications need to be done through third party GIS software, and the data has to be deployed to the web server or exported to a local file. Additionally, when streaming the data over the web, the user experience highly depends on the available bandwidth. Blurry objects and holes in the terrain are likely to appear as well, before the tiles are entirely loaded. Such visual flaws can become highly detrimental to the sense of presence. Another major drawback is the difficulty of implementing user interactions with the streamed objects. Lastly, when utilizing these platforms, the user is likely bound to using proprietary software and web services.

Esri's CityEngine is another powerful commercial tool for creating large-scale virtual urban spaces. The software can directly load the topographic information of the desired area from the web and create a terrain. In the same process, OSM data can also be imported. A versatile geometry generation tool enables the creation of geometries for the imported buildings and streets according to a rule package that can be customized by the developer. The produced 3D environment can be exported to many common file formats, including Unreal Engine's Datasmith format. On the flip side, the imported 3D models are static and cannot be edited in the GE editor, making the development workflow inflexible and inefficient. Similarly, making the imported models functional and interactive is tedious, if not impossible. Lastly, access to a commercial software (currently licensed at \$4,000 for a single user) is not always possible.

Considering the discussed challenges and shortcomings, we have designed a process and implemented the respective tools with the aim to streamline the use of geospatial data for creating interactive virtual environments that mirror real-world cities.

3 METHODOLOGY

In this section, the software components and their functionalities are discussed. The methodology is implemented for Unreal Engine version 4.26 (UE4), but similar procedures can be replicated with other major GEs. The next subsection provides an overview of the software architecture and its components before describing the objectives achieved through these components in the following subsections.

3.1 Software Architecture

Figure 1 shows an overview of our software architecture and the dataflow, where the asterisks indicate the components developed by the authors. The first component is a Python script, called SceneCreator, developed by us to automate the processing of the geographical data used for generating a true-to-reality terrain in the 3D space. SceneCreator utilizes the Python API of the GIS software QGIS, also referred to as PyQGIS. The script expects a DEM as input, containing the elevation of earth's surface within the area of interest in raster format. The raster is converted to a heightmap image, where each pixel stores the respective elevation as a grayscale value. Additionally, an aerial image is produced to visualize the features of the environment on terrain's surface. SceneCreator outputs the two images along with a Datasmith file, which can be conveniently imported into UE4 to create the landscape and the respective texture material.

The remainder of the workflow is completed inside the UE4 editor, which includes loading data, projecting geolocations to UE4's coordinate system, adapting the terrain to the road network, and creating 3D geometries for streets and buildings. At the core of this process is a C++ UE4 actor, dubbed OS-MManager, that has been implemented to coordinate between various plugins and actors. First, the desired information is read from an OSM file, where the streets and buildings are stored as sequences of geolocations, expressed in latitudes and longitudes. In the case of streets, these locations specify the start- and endpoints of the street segments. In the case of buildings, the locations characterize the footprint of the building. These locations are projected to the scene space and are used to procedurally construct and distribute instances of classes that represent the buildings and streets. The classes have been implemented with UE4's Blueprint visual scripting system, and contain besides the spatial information also the relevant metadata and user-defined parameters.

While importing the streets, the developer has the option to adapt the terrain to the road network in order to ensure that the sections under the streets are even. For this purpose, the relevant vertices of the landscape actor are modified. UE4 creates the landscape actor based on a heightmap image, where the pixels correspond to the vertices of the tessellated landscape, and the pixel content specifies the height of the respective vertex. In order to manipulate this heightmap, we need to identify which pixels are covered with the road network. Therefore, a strategy is defined to map each pair of street nodes to a set of pixels covered by the respective street segment. Once the affected pixels are identified, their value is modified in a manner that ensures that the terrain is flat under the streets, while achieving a smooth transition from the start- to the endpoint of each street segment.

Lastly, geometries are created to visually represent the buildings and streets in the 3D space. The geometry creation is performed in each individual instance spawned in the previous steps. In the case of streets, each street segment is represented with a rectangular shape spanned between the start- and endpoint. In the case of buildings, the footprint is extruded with a predetermined height, visualizing an abstract shape of the building.

3.2 Representing the Terrain

To represent the terrain in UE4, a heightmap image and a texture image are generated using the opensource software QGIS version 3.20.3. This step assumes the availability of a DEM for the area of interest. A PyQGIS script, called SceneCreator, has been developed by the authors to process the DEM and to create the files needed for reproducing the terrain in UE4. First, the DEM is transformed to a grayscale heightmap with unsigned 16-bit values, which is the format accepted by UE4. In the same step, if needed, the original raster is clipped to the extent of the area of interest. The heightmap values are also scaled, so that the range of elevations existent in the area is mapped to the whole range of 0 to 65535. This is necessary to maintain accurate scaling of the terrain in the vertical direction. It is also worth noting that UE4 has a set of



Figure 1: An overview of the developed workflow. The components labeled with an asterisks (*) are developed by the authors.

recommended resolutions for the heightmap import, such as 505, 2017, 4033, etc., along either axis of the image. This is due to the fact that UE4 divides the landscape into smaller sections of fixed size. For the best results, in terms of accuracy and performance, the recommendations should be followed when creating a heightmap image. If the heightmap has to be scaled to a recommended resolution, a bilinear resampling is applied to reduce the pixelation effect.

Additionally, an aerial image of the area is produced that serves as the texture applied to the surface of the terrain. A convenient method of acquiring the image is to load it through the Web Coverage Service (WCS), which is a protocol for sharing raster data over the web. The map is loaded as a new layer in QGIS, which is subsequently zoomed to the area of interest and scaled to the desired resolution, while maintaining the same aspect ratio as the extent of the area. The resulting layer is then exported as an image.

In addition to the two PNG images, the SceneCreator script also creates a Datasmith file (with extension .udatasmith). The markup file facilitates importing large collections of assets into UE4 and placing them in the scene with the hierarchy and transformations specified in the file. This way, complex scenes built with 3rd party software can be easily reconstructed in UE4. Aside from this advantage, we opt for using Datasmith, rather than direct import, for the following reason. When importing a heightmap through the UE4 interface, the scaling in the x and y direction is forced to be equal, implying that the spatial resolution of the pixels in the heightmap is expected to be the same in both directions. Together with the limitation of recommended resolutions, this restriction further curtails developer's flexibility in choosing the extent of the desired area. This rule is not enforced when importing the heightmap through Datasmith, which makes it a valuable alternative.

A documentation of the Datasmith API was not available to us, however, we were able to reproduce a simple file by following the patterns of existing Datasmith files. This file incorporates a landscape actor (referencing the heightmap image), a texture (referencing the aerial image), and a material (referencing the texture). The landscape's transformation is also specified in the file. The translation is set to place the pivot point of the actor (located at the top-left corner of the terrain) at the origin of the scene. The scaling is applied according to the following equations:

 $S_X =$

57

$$=\frac{w_m \cdot 100}{w_p} \tag{1}$$

$$=\frac{h_m \cdot 100}{h_p} \tag{2}$$

$$=\frac{(e_{max}-e_{min})\cdot 100}{512}\tag{3}$$

Where s_x , s_y , and s_z are the scales applied to the respective axes. w_m and h_m are the width and height of the visualized area in meters, and w_p and h_p are the width and height of the heightmap image in pixels. e_{max} and e_{min} are the maximum and minimum elevation present in the DEM. To explain the vertical scale, the following background is required. By default, the landscape actor covers a height range from 256 *m* below the actor's pivot point to 256 *m* above it (the actual maximum is 255.992 m, but the 8 millimeters are neglected for the sake of simplicity). Since the heightmap is expected to be stretched to the full range of unsigned 16 bits, at the default scale, the distance between the two extrema spans 512 meters, giving rise to equation 3. Note that when a landscape is imported, the default scale value of the actor along all three axes is 100 rather than 1.

Once the script has completed processing, it produces an additional text file providing information on how to set up the georeferencing actor in UE4. Since the top-left corner of the terrain is placed at scene's origin, the georeference of the origin should be set to the projected coordinates of the northwest point of the corresponding area. The projected point as well as the projection method are included in the text file.

3.3 Importing OSM Data

Open Street Map is used to obtain information about the buildings and streets within the area of interest. The OSM file, which is in XML format, comprises elements called nodes, ways, and relations. Nodes correspond to locations on the earth's surface and are specified with their latitude and longitude values. Ways are an ordered list of nodes used to represent features such as streets, rivers, coast lines, buildings, and districts. Relations define a relationship between a set of nodes, ways, or a combination of both. These elements can be used, for example, to define a route, composed of multiple streets, or a building whose footprint has a hole. Nodes, ways, and relations may also be associated with a number of tags that represent the metadata of that entity. Examples of such metadata are the name of a street or the number of stories of a building. Since these tags are optional, one cannot rely on their availability across all features.

Streets are defined by a set of nodes, referenced by their IDs. The nodes represent the endpoints of the street segments connected by a straight line. The segments correspond to the part of the street between two intersections. Additionally, new segments are introduced whenever the street deviates from a linear trajectory. Buildings are defined as a sequence of nodes corresponding to the vertices of a polygon that represents the footprint of the building. These nodes appear in the order in which they are connected to each other, and the first and last nodes are identical to ensure a closed polygon.

One simple method of acquiring OSM data is to download it from online resources. For example, on the OpenStreetMap website¹, one needs to only enter the latitudes and longitudes of the corners of the desired area before downloading the respective OSM file. To import the downloaded OSM file in UE4, a customized version of the StreetMap plugin² is utilized, which is a C++ plugin originally created for the engine version 4.19 and has been updated by the community³ to make it compatible with newer engine versions. The tool is capable of importing an OSM file and storing the information about buildings and streets in respective classes. When importing an OSM file, the plugin converts the latitudes and longitudes to UE4 locations. However, it is not possible to choose the method used for projecting the coordinates, and it is not possible to associate the origin of the UE4 coordinate system with a specific geolocation. When an environment has to be formed by various geospatial data (e.g, terrain DEM, aerial image, buildings and streets locations, and other data-driven features), it is necessary to be able to associate these elements with their real-world locations regardless of the coordinate system and spatial projection method used in the data source. Hence, the aforementioned limitations of the plugin make it difficult to assemble a geographically accurate scene from different geospatial sources. To make the workflow more flexible and to allow for a persistent reference point in the scene, the plugin source code has been modified by us to allow access to the latitude and longitude values of the OSM elements instead of their projected locations.

3.4 Georeferencing

The UE4 editor structures the scene in its own coordinate system, where each unit corresponds to one *cm*. In order to be able to represent data-driven entities in their accurate locations in the scene, a projected coordinate reference system (CRS) is needed to map the geolocations to the UE4 coordinate system. For this purpose, the UEGeoCoordinates plugin⁴ has been utilized. This plugin, under the name Georeferencing, is now integrated in the latest version of the engine, version 4.27, which was released August 2021. However, since this project was already set up and functional with engine version 4.26, we continued working with the C++ source code of the plugin.

The Georeferencing tool allows the developer to associate the origin of the UE4 coordinate system with any particular geographical point. Additionally, the desired projected CRS can be selected from a wide range of supported coordinate systems. Once this reference is set up, any geographical location can be associated with a location in the UE4 coordinate system, and vice versa. This allows the developer to easily place geographical entities in the scene at their accurate location.

3.5 Representing the Buildings

The information about the buildings that are located in the area of interest can be retrieved for the OSM file. For each building, the nodes that form its footprint are transformed to the UE4 coordinate system using the

¹openstreetmap.org/export

²https://github.com/ue4plugins/StreetMap

³E.g., https://github.com/GameInstitute/StreetMap

⁴https://github.com/ue4plugins/UEGeoCoordinates

georeferencing approach described previously. OSM nodes generally don't contain information about their elevation. Hence, this information has to be obtained differently. Since the landscape actor is created based on the heightmap of the area, it is reasonable to acquire the elevation of the terrain at the points corresponding to the nodes and use these values as their elevation. This process is visualized in figure 2.



Figure 2: Calculating the UE4 coordinates of a real-world point and retrieving the elevation from heightmap. The red grid illustrates the pixel grid of the heightmap and the respective pixel centers.

To provide some background, a heightmap is imported into UE4 as a 16-bit grayscale image, where the pixel values represent the height of the landscape at the corresponding locations. Internally, this information is stored in a collection of RGBA textures, where every channel occupies 8 bits. The most significant byte of the 16-bit height value is stored in the red channel and the least significant byte is stored in the green channels. When computing the height corresponding to a pixel in the heightmap texture, first the binary representations of the red and green values are concatenated to a 16-bit unsigned int number. Given the previous discussion on the vertical scaling of the terrain, at the default scale, 0 represents a height of -256 m, whereas $2^{16} - 1$ represents +256 m with respect to the landscape's pivot point. The pivot point is located at the top-left corner of the landscape, halfway between the lowest and highest elevations. Given the actor's location and scale along the vertical axis, denoted by t_z and s_z , the height in the UE4 space, h, can be computed according to the formula in equation 4, where p represents the 16-bit pixel value.

$$h = t_z + s_z \left(512 \cdot \frac{p}{2^{16} - 1} - 256\right) \tag{4}$$

To calculate the elevation of a node, first, the pixel in the heightmap is identified that corresponds to this point, and the respective pixel content is converted to a height value using the above approach. For each building, the elevation of all respective nodes are computed first. Subsequently, the minimum of these values is used as the height of the building's base. This ensures that the floor (and implicitly the roof) are aligned horizontally, which achieves the best visual results, even though it may cause portions of the building to be hidden below the landscape. Figure 3 depicts an example of a building geometry and the respective footprint nodes.



Figure 3: Extruding the building geometry from footprint nodes. The building's base is at height equal to the elevation of the lowest node (node at the bottom-right corner). Red edges visualize the triangulation of the geometry.

A UE4-Blueprint class is implemented for representing the buildings in the UE4 scene. This class contains the UE4 locations of the vertices of the building footprint, some parameters for geometry creation (e.g., height and texture material), as well as some metadata. When the OSM data is processed, an instance of the building class is spawned for each building available in the OSM file. Each instance is populated with the respective projected locations and the desired metadata, if available.

The Blueprint class also generates an abstract 3D representation of the building. For this purpose, a CustomMesh component is created that is filled with the triangulation of the building's geometry. Given the ordered list of vertices of the footprint, for each consecutive pair of vertices, two new vertices are added above the given pair, representing the respective roof corners. These four vertices form a rectangular wall of the building that can be represented with two triangles. To create the roof (and possibly the floor), the Ear Clipping algorithm is implemented in the Blueprint class based on the version outlined in (Mei et al., 2013). Since OSM data is not always optimized, the algorithm is further extended to be able to handle edge cases such as degenerate triangles and identical consecutive nodes.

Even though the Ear Clipping algorithm has $O(n^2)$ complexity, it was deemed as feasible for our use case as the number of the vertices of a building footprint is expected to be small. Moreover, this number is expected to be significantly smaller than the number of the buildings, which makes the overall computation

time of the procedure less dependent on the complexity of individual triangulations. A further limitation of the Ear Clipping algorithm (and many other algorithms) is that polygons with holes are not supported. Since the StreetMap plugin does not handle such entities, this drawback of the triangulation algorithm is not the limiting factor of our specific implementation.

Since UE4 by default treats triangles as one-sided faces, they need to be added in counterclockwise (CCW) order to ensure that the rendered face is visible from outside of the building. To specify the order, we first determine for each footprint, whether the vertices are labeled in clockwise or CCW order. This can be judged using the signed version of the Shoelace formula for computing the area of a planar polygon:

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} det \begin{pmatrix} x_i & x_{i+1} \\ y_i & y_{i+1} \end{pmatrix}$$
(5)

Where x_i and y_i are the Cartesian coordinates of the *i*-th vertex. If the above sum is positive, the footprint is winding CCW and vice versa. Based on this winding, the triangles are added to the CustomMesh component in CCW order.

3.6 Representing the Street Network

The street data, extracted from an OSM file, serve two purposes: (1) The landscape can be modified to ensure that it is aligned with the road network. (2) 3D representations of the streets are created and placed in the scene. A description of these procedures follows.

3.6.1 Adapting the Terrain

Most publicly available DEMs do not have a spatial resolution high enough to ensure that the surface of the roads are captured accurately. Even if the DEM provides the required resolution and precision, it is likely that the 3D software used for visualizing the terrain will require the resolution to be scaled down to avoid performance bottlenecks when visualizing large areas, such as entire cities. Unreal Engine, for instance, accepts a heightmap image of up to 8160x8160 pixels for a landscape. If a spatial resolution of 1 meter per pixel is desired, this heightmap covers an area of roughly 67 km^2 . A landscape of this size, however, is tessellated with over 133 million triangles, which is not feasible for many platforms.

On the other hand, low spatial resolution in the DEM may result in an uneven terrain along the width and length of a road. If the streets are represented with 3D geometries, visual errors will occur when the street geometries intersect with the terrain surface or are not correctly aligned on it. Figure 4 demonstrates

some of these issues in an environment created using CityEngine 2019 and imported into UE4 through the Datasmith protocol. CityEngine has a feature for aligning the terrain to shapes, which was utilized. Nevertheless, the alignment is not maintained after import since CityEngine and UE4 process and visualize the heightmap image differently. Our workflow modifies the landscape actor in UE4 to minimize these visual errors. We tested different methods for modifying the landscape procedurally, such as defining landscape splines, creating landmass brushes, and directly modifying the heightmap of the landscape. We chose the last approach, as it produced the best visual results, while requiring no action from the developer aside from setting a few parameters.



Figure 4: Visual errors are possible when the terrain and the scene elements are not well-aligned.

For performance reasons, UE4 splits the landscape into components. Each component is of square shape and may itself contain either a single section or a 2-by-2 grid of sections. Each section contains a grid of mxm quads, with $m = 2^n - 1$ and $n \in \{3, ..., 8\}$. Each quad is tessellated with 2 triangles. As mentioned earlier, the information from the input heightmap image is stored in a set of texture instances. These textures are associated with landscape components rather than the entire landscape, however, several landscape components may share the same heightmap texture.

For example, given a 2160x2160 pixel heightmap, UE4 may create a landscape actor as demonstrated in figure 5. The landscape is composed of 289 components visualized by the black 17-by-17 grid. Each component has one section with a 127-by-127 grid of quads, and each quad is tessellated with two triangles. The green grid depicts the tessellation for a portion of one of these sections. The imported heightmap is split into smaller segments and stored as instances of a texture data structure. The coverage of each texture is outlined with the red dashed borders. As shown in

the figure, every 4-by-4 set of components share a texture of size 512x512 pixels for storing the respective height values. The components in the last row and column of the grid are associated with textures that are of size 128x512 or 512x128 or 128x128, depending on their location in the grid. This gives rise to a total of 25 textures. Note that the total number of pixels in all textures is $4 \cdot 512 + 128 = 2176$ along each axis, which is larger than the original 2160 pixels. This is due to the fact that UE4 inserts duplicate pixels at the intersection of the sections and components. In this example, pixels at the 127-th row and column correspond to the same locations on the landscape as the pixels in the 128-th row and column. This pattern is repeated for every 127-th row and column of textures. When manipulating the textures programmatically, it is essential to assign the same value to these overlapping pixels, otherwise, a crack will appear in the landscape. Furthermore, when identifying the pixel that represents a specific location on the terrain, first, the containing texture needs to be determined, and within that texture, an offset has to be applied to the index of the respective pixel to account for the duplicate pixels.



Figure 5: The landscape in UE4 is structured as a set of components (black grid). Component are formed by a fixed number of triangles (green). Multiple components can share the same texture instance for storing the height values (red).

In the proposed method, the pixel values in the heightmap textures are manipulated to ensure that the parts of the landscape that are covered by a street are flat and don't show abrupt height changes. To achieve this, the following rules are followed for modifying the pixel values: (1) Along the length of a street segment, the pixels are assigned by interpolating between the heights of the respective endpoints. (2) Along the width of a street, the pixels are assigned the same value. (3) Boundary pixels are assigned a value equal to the mean of the heights of two adjacent pixels along the line perpendicular to the street (one neighboring pixel on the street and one outside). Figure 6 illustrates how these rules work together.



Figure 6: The heightmap is adapted to the streets. Along the street, pixels are interpolated between the values of the endpoints (green). Across the street, pixels have the same value as the respective center point (red). Boundary pixels are assigned the average of their neighboring pixels (blue).

As the first step, for each street segment, we identify the affected heightmap pixels. These pixels form a rectangle that spans between the start- and endpoint of the segment and has a width, w, corresponding to the predetermined width of the road. These pixels are identified by a modified version of the Bresenham's line algorithm (Bresenham, 1965), which takes the width of the rectangle into account and ensures that all the pixels in the respective rectangle are captured.

In particular, first, the pixels that represent the line connecting the start- and endpoint are determined using the Bresenham's algorithm. Subsequently, for each one of these pixels, we specify the perpendicular line segment of length w centered at that pixel (i.e., the line enclosed by the red box in figure 6). The endpoints of this line segment represent the boundary of the rectangle corresponding to the current pixel. All the pixels that are covered by this perpendicular line segment will be assigned the same pixel value. This value is computed by a linear interpolation between the heights of the endpoints of the street segment. For this purpose, the real-world elevations at the location of street segments' endpoints as well as points surrounding the street are acquired. These elevations are read from the heightmap textures based on the same approach used for calculating the elevation of buildings' nodes. The acquired height values are subsequently used to determine the pixel value of the points affected by the street according to the relation specified in equation 4.

3.6.2 Creating Street Geometry

Similar to buildings, a UE4 class is developed to represent the streets in the immersive environment. When the OSM data is processed, an instance of the street class is spawned for each street and initialized with the UE4-locations of the nodes as well as the desired metadata. The locations of the nodes are used to create and set a spline component along the street.

When generating the 3D geometry, for every con-

secutive pair of spline points, a SplineMesh component is added between them. The benefit of using spline meshes, over simple static meshes, is that with spline meshes, the engine automatically deforms the underlying mesh to adapt it to the shape of the spline. In our case, a simple cube is used as the geometry, which is stretched along the street segment and scaled with the predefined street width.

4 TEST SCENARIO

To examine the effectiveness of the developed workflow, we created the 3D representation of a real urban space using UE4. The location chosen for this scenario is the central part of the city of Seaside, along the Oregon coast in the United States. For visualizing the terrain, we utilized a DEM representing a larger area surrounding the desired location. Using the developed PyQGIS SceneCreator script, the DEM was clipped to the area of interest by specifying the four corners of a rectangle identified with the respective latitudes and longitudes. Further processing is performed as outlined in subsection 3.2. The resulting image is a grayscale heightmap of size 2160x2160 pixels, covering an area of roughly 1.30x1.36 km. The accompanying texture image is acquired from the World Imagery⁵ map dataset through WCS. The Datasmith file produced by the script is imported into UE4 using the Datasmith Importer, which places a landscape of accurate proportions in the scene. Subsequently, a georeference actor is placed in the scene and the projected coordinates of the origin are configured using the information computed by the script.

Additionally, an OSM file is acquired through the OpenStreetMap website, using the same latitudes and longitudes used in SceneCreator. Utilizing the StreetMap plugin, the file is imported into UE4. The developed OSMManager actor is placed in the scene and is configured with references to the imported OSM file as well as the classes representing the buildings and streets. A screenshot of the user interface of the actor is shown in figure 7. Once the actor is set up, the developer can load the road network by pressing a button. This process spawns an instance of the street class for each street represented in OSM and adapts the landscape to the road network, as described previously. For the visualized area, 239 street instances are distributed throughout the scene, with a total length of over 24.4 km across all streets. Note that a real-world street may be represented with multiple way elements in the OSM file, each corresponding to a portion of it. Subsequently, the developer can select all the street instances in the scene (or any subset of them) and press another button to create the corresponding geometries. Similarly, the building instances are distributed in the scene with the push of a button and initialized with the vertices of the footprint. In our test case, 1206 buildings are generated. Collectively, the building footprints comprise 6216 nodes, and a total of 16192 triangles are produced.



Figure 7: Interface of the OSMManager actor.

To measure the time needed for the above computations, we logged the computation time of each step. The tests are repeated 5 times and the average value is reported. These experiments were conducted on a Windows workstation with Intel Xeon E5-2690 2.60GHz CPU, 256 GB of RAM, and NVIDIA Quadro P6000 graphics card. The procedure for extracting the street information, populating the scene with street instances, and adapting the landscape took on average 3.65 seconds. Extracting the building information and creating the instances required on average 1.07 seconds. The average times for generating the geometries of the streets and buildings were 0.82 and 0.98 seconds respectively. These times are measured for the processing done by our actors. Additionally, when large numbers of geometries are added to the scene, UE4 editor may freeze for a short moment to render the scene. Screenshots of the created environment can be seen in figure 8.

⁵http://server.arcgisonline.com/ArcGIS/rest/services/ World_Imagery/MapServer



Figure 8: Screenshots of the created environment.

5 CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

In this work, we introduced a workflow, discussed the respective methodology, and described the tools utilized for making it possible to efficiently construct virtual worlds that replicate large real-world urban spaces. The process relies entirely on open-source software and data, which makes it attractive for a wider audience within the non-commercial research domain and beyond. The workflow also facilitates an interactive framework, where the developer is able to tailor the environment and the interactions to the use case at hand by extending the classes representing the scene elements. For instance, consider a scenario where the effects of an earthquake on a city have to be simulated in the 3D space. With the proposed approach, individual instances of the building class can compute the degree of damage suffered, for example, based on the building's age and material type, as queried from the metadata. Since in our workflow the geometry creation is procedural, each building is capable of visualizing its own damage at run time.

With the developed workflow, given a DEM of the area of interest, within minutes the terrain can be produced and populated with urban features (streets and buildings), provided that the developer is wellfamiliar with the process and tools. This comes with the caveat that every location has its own characteristics and complexities, which will likely require the developer to try different parameters or make modifications to the process or preprocess the data. This means that the realistic time of creating a new location is expected to be higher. Nevertheless, this workflow is significantly more efficient than many existing approaches, making it a viable option for rapid prototyping. This efficiency is brought about by two major factors. First, the majority of the process is performed within the GE, making the use of external tools, such as 3D modelling or image processing software, obsolete. Second, the process is largely automated. In most steps, the developer only needs to set some parameters and trigger the respective function calls.

Despite the power of the implemented framework, there is room for improvement in future iterations. We believe the efficiency can be increased by incorporating the totality of the workflow inside GE. Currently, the heightmap image, the aerial image, and the OSM file need to be produced by external software and imported into UE4. Being able to conduct those tasks from the GE will speed up the development and improve the developer experience. This can be achieved, for example, by implementing an interface to open libraries, such as GDAL. Another avenue that can be pursued is to capitalize on the recent developments in the immersive GIS industry and benefit from the integration of commercial services, such as Esri and Cesium. These solutions are, however, proprietary and have further drawbacks as discussed previously.

Enhancing the aesthetics of the environment is another desired objective. Using aerial imagery for visualizing the terrain surface is a suitable solution for bird's-eye view settings, but for first-person experience, this is less satisfactory. A favorable approach would be to segment aerial images using artificial intelligence and to paint the terrain in accordance with these segments. Similarly, land cover maps could be used to automatically populate the scene with natural features, such as vegetation and water bodies. The created geometries for the streets and buildings could also be expanded to more elaborate shapes with photorealistic textures. A further enhancement would be to deploy intelligent agents in the scene to represent crowds and traffic. These improvements could boost the realism of the environment noticeably, and consequently, improve the user experience.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research has been partially supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) CIVIC Innovation Challenge - Resilience to Natural Disasters (Award number: 2044098). GRAPP 2022 - 17th International Conference on Computer Graphics Theory and Applications

REFERENCES

- Batty, M. (1997). Virtual geography. *Futures*, 29(4-5):337–352. Publisher: Elsevier.
- Bresenham, J. (1965). Algorithm for computer control of a digital plotter. *IBM Systems Journal*, 4(1):25–30.
- Bulatov, D., Häufel, G., Meidow, J., Pohl, M., Solbrig, P., and Wernerus, P. (2014). Context-based automatic reconstruction and texturing of 3d urban terrain for quick-response tasks. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 93:157–170.
- Carbonell-Carrera, C., Saorin, J., and Melián Díaz, D. (2021). User VR Experience and Motivation Study in an Immersive 3D Geovisualization Environment Using a Game Engine for Landscape Design Teaching. *Land*, 10(5):492.
- de Amicis, R., Bernstein, W. Z., Scholz, J., Radkowski, R., Simões, B., Lieberman, J., and Prather, E. (2020). Merging Geospatial Technologies with Cross Reality in the context of smart manufacturing systems. In 2020 IEEE International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality Adjunct (ISMAR-Adjunct).
- Edler, D., Husar, A., Keil, J., Vetter, M., and Dickmann, F. (2018). Virtual Reality (VR) and Open Source Software: A Workflow for Constructing an Interactive Cartographic VR Environment to Explore Urban Landscapes. KN - Journal of Cartography and Geographic Information, 68(1):5–13.
- Fischer, M. and Openshaw, S. (1995). A Framework for Research on Spatial Analysis Relevant to Geo-Statistical Informations Systems in Europe.
- Giovannini, L., Pezzi, S., di Staso, U., Prandi, F., and de Amicis, R. (2014). Large-Scale Assessment and Visualization of the Energy Performance of Buildings with Ecomaps - Project SUNSHINE: Smart Urban Services for Higher Energy Efficiency:. In Proceedings of 3rd International Conference on Data Management Technologies and Applications, pages 170– 177, Vienna, Austria. SCITEPRESS.
- Gruen, A. (2008). Reality-based generation of virtual environments for digital earth. *International Journal of Digital Earth*, 1(1):88–106.
- Gune, A., De Amicis, R., Simões, B., Sanchez, C., and Demirel, O. (2018). Graphically Hearing: Enhancing Understanding of Geospatial Data through an Integrated Auditory and Visual Experience. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, 38(4):18–26.
- Herwig, A. and Paar, P. (2002). Game Engines: Tools for Landscape Visualization and Planning? Trends in GIS Virtualization in Environmental Planning and Design.
- Hruby, F., Ressl, R., and de la Borbolla del Valle, G. (2019). Geovisualization with immersive virtual environments in theory and practice. *International Journal* of Digital Earth, 12(2):123–136.
- Keil, J., Edler, D., Schmitt, T., and Dickmann, F. (2021). Creating Immersive Virtual Environments Based on Open Geospatial Data and Game Engines. *KN -Journal of Cartography and Geographic Information*, 71(1):53–65.

- Kellogg, L., Bawden, G., Bernardin, T., Billen, M., Cowgill, E., Hamann, B., Jadamec, M., Kreylos, O., Staadt, O., and Sumner, D. (2008). Interactive Visualization to Advance Earthquake Simulation. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, 165(3):621–633.
- Kelly, G. and McCabe, H. (2017). A Survey of Procedural Techniques for City Generation. *The ITB Journal*.
- Laksono, D. and Aditya, T. (2019). Utilizing A Game Engine for Interactive 3D Topographic Data Visualization. *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, 8(8):361.
- Liu, X. (2020). Three-Dimensional Visualized Urban Landscape Planning and Design Based on Virtual Reality Technology. *IEEE Access*, 8:149510–149521.
- Çöltekin, A., Hempel, J., Brychtova, A., Giannopoulos, I., Stellmach, S., and Dachselt, R. (2016). Gaze and Feet as Additional Input Modalities for Interacting with Geospatial Interfaces. In ISPRS Annals of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, volume III-2. Copernicus GmbH.
- Lütjens, M., Kersten, T., Dorschel, B., and Tschirschwitz, F. (2019). Virtual Reality in Cartography: Immersive 3D Visualization of the Arctic Clyde Inlet (Canada) Using Digital Elevation Models and Bathymetric Data. *Multimodal Technologies and Interaction*, 3(1):9.
- MacEachren, A., Edsall, R., Haug, D., Baxter, R., Otto, G., Masters, R., Fuhrmann, S., and Qian, L. (1999). Virtual environments for geographic visualization: potential and challenges. In *Proceedings of the 1999* workshop on new paradigms in information visualization and manipulation, NPIVM '99, pages 35–40. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Mei, G., Tipper, J., and Xu, N. (2013). Ear-Clipping Based Algorithms of Generating High-Quality Polygon Triangulation. In Lu, W., Cai, G., Liu, W., and Xing, W., editors, Proceedings of the 2012 International Conference on Information Technology and Software Engineering, Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering, pages 979–988. Springer.
- Petridis, P., Dunwell, I., Panzoli, D., Arnab, S., Protopsaltis, A., Hendrix, M., and de Freitas, S. (2012). Game Engines Selection Framework for High-Fidelity Serious Applications. *International Journal of Interactive Worlds*, pages 1–19.
- Prandi, F., Devigili, F., Soave, M., Di Staso, U., and De Amicis, R. (2015). 3D web visualization of huge CityGML models. *The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, XL-3/W3:601–605.
- Robles-Ortega, M. D., Ortega, L., Coelho, A., Feito, F., and de Sousa, A. (2013). Automatic Street Surface Modeling for Web-Based Urban Information Systems. Urban Planning and Development, 139(1):40–48.
- Smelik, R., Tutenel, T., Bidarra, R., and Benes, B. (2014). A Survey on Procedural Modelling for Virtual Worlds. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 33(6):31–50.
- Thompson, E. M., Horne, M., and Fleming, D. (2006). Virtual Reality Urban Modelling - An Overview. In Proceedings of 6th Conference of Construction Applications of Virtual Reality.