

Influence of Isometric Contraction during Archery Training and Detraining on the Muscles and Hand Grip Strength in Sedentary Youth: A Randomized Controlled Trial

Mohd Azrul Anuar Zolkafi^{1,2}, Norsham Juliana², Sahar Azmani², Abd Rahman Hayati²,
Noor Aien Monsarip¹ and Nur Islami Mohd Fahmi Teng³

¹*Fakulti Sains Sukan dan Kejurulatihan, Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris, 35900 Tanjung Malim, Perak, Malaysia*

²*Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia, 71800 Nilai, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia*

³*Faculty of Health Sciences, Universiti Teknologi MARA Kampus Puncak Alam, 42300 Puncak Alam, Malaysia*

Keywords: Arrow, Bow, Dynamometer, Extensors, Flexors.

Abstract: Team sports activities had been found to improve non-athletes physical performance. However, lack of studies reported on individual games. This present study aimed to determine whether isometric contraction of arm during archery intervention respond better to upper and lower body muscles strength and grip strength. Total thirty-four sedentary men had involved; seventeen performed 12 weeks (3x week⁻¹) of archery intervention (AG) and seventeen maintain as sedentary behaviour (SG). Upper and lower body muscles strength were determine at pre and post training, and detraining by isometric contraction test using hand-held dynamometer. Meanwhile, hand grip strength was assessed using JAMAR hand grip dynamometer. The data were analysed using ANOVA repeated measures. Pre intervention muscles and grip strength were similar between groups and increase significantly in archery group ($p < 0.05$). There was a significant group x time and group x intervention interaction in strength especially upper body and grip strength. Pairwise imposed that archery group improved strength between 17% and 46% compared to baseline, whilst control group varies between -4% and 6% of changes. After detraining of 12 weeks, the muscle strength of intervention group regressed. The present study offers novel but provisional data that lower body strength adaptation is lesser despite comparable adaptation to upper body strength.

1 INTRODUCTION

The sedentary behaviour is associated with increase central fat deposition, pericardial fat (Larsen et al. 2014) and reduce muscle activity amplitude (Pesola et al. 2016). In many cases, sedentary behaviour positively related to the prevalence of overweight and obesity (Nicholas et al. 2015). Moreover, growing of screen time (Lepp et al. 2013), prolong sitting (Larsen et al. 2014) and stationary activities are steadily increasing and limiting the physical activity (PA) to 150 minutes per week (Piercy et al. 2018). In fact, convenience to advance technology further reduces physical mobility and increase the probabilities of dramatic muscle strength deterioration (Hamer and Stamatakis 2013).

Changing lifestyle is granted to improve health and minimize sedentary behaviour duration in daily living (Barwais and Cuddihy 2015). Considering

these facts, few studies explored sport interventions to promote PA and muscle function in sedentary population. Fenton et al (2016) found sport activities responsible to lessen the engagement time to sedentary behaviour and improve general health (Zolkafi et al. 2019). Furthermore, by improving the exercise intensity and duration, the muscle quality, postural balance and activity adaptation are also obtained benefits (Sundstrup et al. 2010; Zolkafi et al. 2018).

Those with sedentary lifestyle often reported to have high body weight and high adiposity. They were reported to have lower self-esteem (Nihill, Lubans, and Plotnikoff 2013), thus unmotivated to perform any PA. Therefore, selection of suitable sport nature is important in order to encourage sedentary people to sustain their new routine. Archery is one of the stationary game which requires physical strength, body stability and focus. The isometric contraction of

muscle to overcome bowstring tension (Johnson 2015) which furthermore strengthen muscles.

However, lack of studies exist exploring the development of muscle strength in sedentary people following an archery sport. These data are essential because sedentary people are vulnerable to health-related matters (Larsen et al. 2015) and higher risk of exercise withdrawal. Quitting from training reverses the benefits of sport involvement (Zech et al. 2012). Data on the effects of archery training and also detraining might assist sedentary people to improve health status and minimize risk of withdrawal.

In the present study, sedentary men underwent a 12-weeks archery training and detrained for another 12-weeks. Strength of six major muscles in upper and lower body, and hand grip were measured. We hypothesized that the archery training would increase muscle strength and reverse the effect following detraining period.

2 METHODOLOGY

Study participants included 34 healthy inactive youth men who obtained from preliminary study ($n=208$) using simple random sampling. The sedentary status (<150 minutes per week of MVPA) was confirmed by SQUASH questionnaire (Admiraal et al. 2011). Subjects were randomly assigned to archery, AG ($n=17$, age = 21.4 ± 1.3 years) and sedentary group, SG ($n=17$, age = 22.3 ± 1.6 years). The inclusion criteria were those age 18 to 30 years, practice sedentary lifestyle and free from any chronic and terminal diseases. We exclude those who are consuming any supplements known to boost energy or alter muscle strength, having any kind of body fractures, muscle injury, carpal tunnel syndrome or cardiovascular diseases. Those assigned to AG was required to play archery 3 days per week for 12 weeks. The SG was instructed to maintain their lifestyle.

Participants were cautiously informed details of experiment protocol and possible risks related to the study prior to obtain informed consent. No dropped out of participants were recorded. Ethic approval obtained from the Research Ethic Committee of Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia (USIM/REC/0416-3) and was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.1 Experimental Design

Participants were explained about the procedure of muscle and hand grip strength (HGS) assessment prior to the study. Both groups were assessed three

times; Week 0 (baseline), Week 12 (post-intervention) and Week 24 (detraining). They were prohibited from consume caffeine at least 24 hours before assessment because it's potential to influence strength and endurance of muscles (Warren et al. 2010). The assessment was not performed immediately after archery training.

2.2 Muscle Strength Assessment

Six muscles were assessed involving upper and lower body (elbow flexors, elbow extensors, shoulder abductors, knee flexors, knee extensors and hip abductors). Subjects were instructed to be in fully supported position according to specific assessed muscles (Table 1). The muscle strength was measured using Commander™ Muscle Testing Dynamometer. This simple device contains a small internal load cell which is capable to measure the muscular force. The unit used for muscle strength was in pound (lbf). The greatest score of muscle strength was the final score amongst three readings. The muscle strength score for both right and left muscles were recorded as mean.

2.3 Hand-grip Strength

Hand grip strength (HGS) measurement was done using Jamar Hand Dynamometer (Patterson Medical, Serial No: 1311184; Canada) for both sides based on American Society of Hand Therapist (ASHT) recommendation (El-Sais and Mohammad 2014). The test was conducted in sitting position. The elbow was 90° flexed and shoulder was abducted (Lam et al. 2016). The hand grip dynamometer was set to the second handle position for every subject. The subjects were instructed to squeeze the handle maximally for three seconds. The tests were conducted three times with one minute interval between each test. The result of the HGS was recorded in kilogram to the nearest one decimal point. The mean of the three measurements for each hand were regarded as the HGS.

2.4 Training Protocol

Each subjects in AG group were provided with a diary and required to record the dates of training which was conducted by a qualified archery coach. On top of that, AG subjects were given an introductory session included basic archery techniques, rules and regulations to be obliged along the timeline. The trial session introduced the methods using a naked bow because no additional devices were equipped on the bow. The type of arrow used was carbon arrow.

The subjects held the bow using left hand (straight the elbow and shoulder abduct at 90°) and draw the string using another hand. The target butt was located at left shoulder side (in 10 meters distance) and the right shoulder was on one line with left shoulder. The anterior body was not facing to the target butt. The feet were planted in shoulder width distance. The left foot faced butt and right foot in same direction with the body. A mid-point of string was put in between an arrow nock. By using three fingers (index, middle and ring finger) as a hook, the string drew (35 – 40 lbs.) until reach to cheek. The subjects to hold about 5 seconds for aiming purpose. Then, subjects released the arrow as soon as they satisfied with the aim.

Based on the regime, subjects were attended three sessions weekly for 12 weeks (6 shots x 7 sets each session; total arrow shots was 1512 arrows). The detraining phase was started from week 12 to week 24. The subjects were advised to withdraw from archery training and any other PA to avoid any confounding results.

2.5 Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using a two factor general linear model. The statistical significance was accepted at the $p < 0.05$. Group x time interaction, time and group main effects were determined by ANOVA repeated measures. The data distribution were assessed by Shapiro-Wilk meanwhile homogeneity of variance were evaluated by Levene's test. All assumptions were not violated unless statistically stated. Greenhouse-Geisser estimate is reported when Mauchly's test of Sphericity was violated. The alpha value ($p < 0.05$) was set to indicate the significant difference. The muscles strength score during each of sessions were analysed using a 3 x 2 mixed model ANOVA which presented as p value and effect size.

3 RESULTS

Baseline characteristics showed both groups were similar. No significant different was obtained for all variables (Table 2).

For muscle strength, the results from ANOVA repeated measure indicated a significant time effect ($p < 0.05$) for all tested muscles. Similarly, upper body muscles and knee flexors were obtained significant intervention effects. Based on the findings, following 12 weeks of archery intervention, all muscles were reported increased in strength but not for SG. As expected following withdrawal of archery training, there muscle strength were declined.

Similarly for HGS, results found a significant main effect for time ($p < 0.05$) for both right and left hand. However, only left hand was reported obtained significant difference on the intervention effect. General results suggested that archery training improved HGS following 12 weeks intervention for both hand.

4 DISCUSSION

Based on our knowledge, this is the first study which exploring the component of isometric muscle in archery as an intervention to combat effects of sedentary on muscles strength. These results suggest big group of muscles were comfortably adapted to the component of isometric contraction in archery training. On observation, the muscle strength were remained significantly elevated at post intervention compared to baseline. Similarly, the post-intervention and detraining also showed declination in strength for upper and lower body and hand grip. Interestingly, the muscle strength following 12 weeks of detraining were still higher than baseline.

Several experimental studies had reported improvement in overall performance following football involvement among sedentary (Cvetkovic et al. 2018). However, lack of literature engaged with archery as an intervention for sedentary population. The available sources reported that there are activation of muscles especially forearm (Ertan 2009), and shoulder complex (Shinohara and Urabe 2017). Therefore, the improvement of hand grip and upper body muscle strength following structured archery training were due to consistent activation of muscles every time archers performed arrow shooting. Since all of the participants were non-archers, the utilization of muscles are higher compared to elite and junior archers (Ertan et al. 2003). Furthermore, implementation of holding time during aiming phase help the muscle strength developed even more. During these phase, the stability of glenohumeral joint and scapular are required (Lin et al. 2010). With the training, the tremor symptom which presence due to muscle weakness was gradually reduced and disappeared.

The frequency of string drawing is mimic to other load in resistance training regiments. This further boost the factor of strength development in the targeted muscles. Despite the loads used was 35 to 40 pounds through the string weight, higher repetition provides development of muscles strength especially to untrained adolescent (Assunção et al. 2016). Moreover, combination blood flow restriction and

low resistance exercise acted as hypertrophy stimuli (Wackerhage et al. 2019). This is crucial where the low-load in training increases the higher muscle fibre type 1 compared to high-load training (Grgic and Schoenfeld 2018). Isometric muscle contraction causes both agonist and antagonist muscles to contract concentrically at the same time which causes the localized blood vessels are pinched thus slowing down the blood flow. Interestingly, during archery training, this isometric muscle contraction was repeatedly performed especially during string drawing and aiming phases plus holding time.

Apart of significant improvement on upper body, lower body muscles also showed significant improvement following 12 weeks of archery intervention. The improvement was recorded with no special intervention provided to lower body muscles. This novel finding is remarkable especially to the stationary sport activity. Explorative experiment found that high activation of quadriceps and calf muscles reported during contralateral isometric contraction of shoulder flexion and abduction (Lee, Park, and Lee 2014). This finding reflected the influence of upper body isometric contraction and lower body muscles during archery activity.

Additionally, static standing is also responsible for further recruiting lower body muscles to be more stable in order to stand steadily and minimize body postural sway (Handrigan et al. 2012). This is an important component to assist in shooting accuracy especially in long periods. Archers normally experience of an antero-posterior (AP) and medio-lateral (ML) body sway (Simsek et al. 2018) while playing. There are two major factors that compromise postural stability and increase the body sway phenomenon; muscle weakness and reduced joint proprioception (Barbieri et al. 2019; Hwang et al. 2016). Both problematic causes are frequently found in elderly (Hassan, Mockett, and Doherty 2001) but also could happen to younger populations following lack of physical activities. During training, archers spend higher durations in standing which unconsciously recruits the lower body muscles to gain strength and develop muscle endurance.

Based on the results, hamstring muscles showed significant improvement after 12 weeks of training. In archery, body sway has a crucial influence on performance in which the lower level archers had greater sway, AP and ML ground reaction force (Simsek et al. 2018). Due to bow weight, AP sway was expected to be dominant compared to other directions. For anterior posture sway, hamstring activity was found greatest during upright stance (Prior et al. 2014). Therefore, it contributed to the

great improvement of hamstring strength following specific body posture during shooting activity.

Withdrawal from training caused upper and lower body and HGS to dramatically deteriorate. It is considered a normal phenomenon after restricting or completely quitting from the previous PA. The investigation of detraining is important to explore how much the muscles were affected from the archery training. There is evidence of reduction in strength following cessation from strength training further affecting the ability of muscle to perform contraction as similar as during training (Khademi et al. 2015) although no significant changes were reported in muscle thickness, lean mass and muscle contractility (Psilander et al. 2019; Shima et al. 2002; Zech et al. 2012). Based on the findings, hip flexor and shoulder abductor muscles were the biggest loss of strength following 12 weeks of detraining at 24% and 19%. Although reduction in strength was reported, the muscles' strength still reported had greater scores compared to baseline. The event suggested the good carry-over effect of archery training on the muscles after activity cessation.

According to findings, twelve weeks of archery training is suggested to improve muscle strength to both upper and lower body, and HGS. Withdrawal from training risks for reduction of strength especially to the upper body muscles.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS) by Ministry of Higher Education (MoHE) of Malaysia.

REFERENCES

- Admiraal, W. M., I. G. M. Van Valkengoed, J. S. L. De Munter, K. Stronks, J. B. L. Hoekstra, and F. Holleman. 2011. "The Association of Physical Inactivity with Type 2 Diabetes among Different Ethnic Groups." *Diabetes Medicine* 28:668–72.
- Assunção, Ari R., Martim Bottaro, João B. Ferreira-Junior, Mikel Izquierdo, Eduardo L. Cadore, and Paulo Gentil. 2016. "The Chronic Effects of Low- and High-Intensity Resistance Training on Muscular Fitness in Adolescents." *PLoS ONE* 11(8):4–7.
- Barbieri, Fabio A., Tiago Penedo, Lucas Simieli, Ricardo A. Barbieri, Alessandro M. Zagatto, Japp H. van Dieën, Mirjam A. G. M. Pijnappels, Sergio T. Rodrigues, and Paula F. Polastri. 2019. "Effects of Ankle Muscle Fatigue and Visual Behavior on Postural Sway in Young Adults." *Frontiers in Physiology* 10(June):1–8.
- Barwais, Faisal A., and Thomas F. Cuddihy. 2015. "Empowering Sedentary Adults to Reduce Sedentary

- Behavior and Increase Physical Activity Levels and Energy Expenditure: A Pilot Study.” *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 12(1):414–27.
- Cvetkovic, Nemanja, Emilija Stojanovic, Nenad Stojiljković, Dušan Nikolić, and Zoran Milanović. 2018. “Effects of a 12 Week Recreational Football and High-Intensity Interval Training on Physical Fitness in Overweight Children.” *Physical Education and Sport* 16(2):435–50.
- Douma, Rob K. W., Remko Soer, Wim P. Krijnen, Michiel Reneman, and Cees P. Van Der Schans. 2014. “Reference Values for Isometric Muscle Force among Workers for the Netherlands: A Comparison of Reference Values.” *BMC Sports Science, Medicine, and Rehabilitation* 6(1):1–10.
- El-sais, Walaa M., and Walaa S. Mohammad. 2014. “Influence of Different Testing Postures on Hand Grip Strength.” 10(36):290–301.
- Ertan, H., B. Kentel, S. T. Tumer, and F. Korkusuz. 2003. “Activation Patterns in Forearm Muscles during Archery Shooting.” *Human Movement Science* 22(1):37–45.
- Ertan, Hayri. 2009. “Muscular Activation Patterns of the Bow Arm in Recurve Archery.” *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport* 12(3):357–60.
- Fenton, Sally A. M., Joan L. Duda, and Timothy Barrett. 2016. “Inter-Participant Variability in Daily Physical Activity and Sedentary Time among Male Youth Sport Footballers: Independent Associations with Indicators of Adiposity and Cardiorespiratory Fitness.” *Journal of Sport Sciences* 34(3):239–51.
- Grgic, Jozo, and Brad J. Schoenfeld. 2018. “Are the Hypertrophic Adaptations to High and Low-Load Resistance Training Muscle Fiber Type Specific?” *Frontiers in Physiology* 9(April):1–6.
- Hamer, Mark, and Emmanuel Stamatakis. 2013. “Screen-Based Sedentary Behavior, Physical Activity, and Muscle Strength in the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing.” *PLoS ONE* 8(6):4–9.
- Handrigan, Grant A., Felix Berrigan, Olivier Hue, Martin Simoneau, Philippe Corbeil, Angelo Tremblay, and Normand Teasdale. 2012. “The Effects of Muscle Strength on Center of Pressure-Based Measures of Postural Sway in Obese and Heavy Athletic Individuals.” *Gait & Posture* 35:88–91.
- Hassan, B. S., S. Mockett, and M. Doherty. 2001. “Static Postural Sway, Proprioception, and Maximal Voluntary Quadriceps Contraction in Patients with Knee Osteoarthritis and Normal Control Subjects.” *Ann Rheum Dis* 60:612–18.
- Hwang, Wonjeong, Jun Ha, Minjin Huh, Yeon Ju, Sang Won, In Ui, and Mi Young. 2016. “The Effect of Hip Abductor Fatigue on Static Balance and Gait Parameters.” *Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation Science* 5(1):34–39.
- Johnson, Teresa. 2015. *Archery Fundamentals*. 2nd editio. edited by T. Heine and C. Zych. Champaign, United States of America: Human Kinetics.
- Khademi, Alireza, Kimia Zareie, Sara Rezaeiyanzadeh Jahromi, and Milad Mirsadzadeh. 2015. “The Effect of 8 Weeks Detraining on Static and Dynamic Muscle Endurance.” *International Journal of Basic Sciences & Applied Research* 4(4):235–39.
- Lam, Ngee Wei, Hui Ting Goh, Shahrul Bahyah Kamaruzzaman, Ai Vyrn Chin, Philip Jun Hua Poi, and Maw Pin Tan. 2016. “Normative Data for Hand Grip Strength and Key Pinch Strength, Stratified by Age and Gender for a Multiethnic Asian Population.” *Singapore Medical Journal* 57(10):578–84.
- Larsen, Britta A., Matthew A. Allison, Eugene Kang, Sarah Saad, Gail A. Laughlin, Maria Rosario G. Araneta, Elizabeth Barrett-Connor, and Christina L. Wassel. 2014. “Associations of Physical Activity and Sedentary Behavior with Regional Fat Deposition.” *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 46(3):520–28.
- Larsen, Jesper Bie, Jean Farup, Martin Lind, and Ulrik Dalgas. 2015. “Muscle Strength and Functional Performance Is Markedly Impaired at the Recommended Time Point for Sport Return after Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction in Recreational Athletes.” *Human Movement Science* 39:73–87.
- Lee, Daehee, Jungseo Park, and Sangyong Lee. 2014. “Isometric Contraction of an Upper Extremity and Its Effects on the Contralateral Lower Extremity.” *Journal of Physical Therapy Science* 26:1707–9.
- Lepp, Andrew, Jacob E. Barkley, Gabriel J. Sanders, Michael Rebold, and Peter Gates. 2013. “The Relationship between Cell Phone Use, Physical and Sedentary Activity, and Cardiorespiratory Fitness in a Sample of U.S. College Students.” *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity* 10(1):1–9.
- Lin, Jiu-jenq, Cheng-ju Hung, Ching-ching Yang, and Hsing-yu Chen. 2010. “Activation and Tremor of the Shoulder Muscles to the Demands of an Archery Task.” *Journal of Sport Sciences* 28(4):415–21.
- Mohamed, Muhamad Noor, and Azrul Hisham Azhar. 2012. “Postural Sway and Shooting Accuracy of Skilled Recurve Archers.” *Movement, Health and Exercise* 1(1):49–60.
- Moradi, Zahra, Mohammad Akbari, Nouredin Nakhostin Ansari, and Anita Emrani. 2014. “Strength of Hip Muscle Groups in Sedentary Women with Patellofemoral Pain Syndrome.” *BMC Sports Science, Medicine, and Rehabilitation* 27:299–306.
- Nicholas, Joshua A., Geraldine Lo Siou, Brigid M. Lynch, Paula J. Robson, Christine M. Friedenreich, and Ilona Csizmadi. 2015. “Leisure-Time Physical Activity Does Not Attenuate the Association between Occupational Sedentary Behavior and Obesity: Results from Alberta’s Tomorrow Project.” *Journal of Physical Activity and Health* 12(12):1589–1600.
- Nihill, Janem Genevieve Frances, David Revalds Lubans, and Ronald Cyril Plotnikoff. 2013. “Associations between Sedentary Behavior and Self-Esteem in Adolescent Girls from Schools in Low-Income

Communities.” *Mental Health and Physical Activity* 6(1):30–35.

Pesola, Arto J., Arto Laukkanen, Olli Tikkanen, and Taija Finni. 2016. “Heterogeneity of Muscle Activity during Sedentary Behavior.” *Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism* 41(11):1155–62.

Piercy, K. L., R. P. Troiano, R. M. Ballard, S. A. Carlson, Janet E. Fulton, and D. A. Galuska. 2018. “The Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans.” *JAMA* 230(19):2020–28.

Prior, Simon, Tim Mitchell, Rod Whiteley, Peter O’Sullivan, Benjamin K. Williams, Sebastien Racinais, and Abdulaziz Farooq. 2014. “The Influence of Changes in Trunk and Pelvic Posture during Single Leg Standing on Hip and Thigh Muscle Activation in a Pain Free Population.” *BMC Sports Science, Medicine and Rehabilitation* 6(1):1–9.

Psilander, Niklas, X. Einar Eftestøl, Kristoffer Toldnes Cumming, Inga Juvkam, Maria M. Ekblom, Kerstin Sunding, Mathias Wernbom, Hans-christer Holmberg, Björn Ekblom, Jo C. Bruusgaard, X. Truls Raastad, and Kristian Gundersen. 2019. “Effects of Training, Detraining, and Retraining on Strength, Hypertrophy, and Myonuclear Number in Human Skeletal Muscle.” *Journal of Applied Physiology* 126:1636–45.

Shima, Norihiro, Koji Ishida, Keisho Katayama, Yoshifumi Morotome, Yasutake Sato, and Miharuru Miyamura. 2002. “Cross Education of Muscular Strength during Unilateral Resistance Training and Detraining.” *European Journal of Applied Physiology* 86:287–88.

Shinohara, H., and Y. Urabe. 2017. “Analysis of Muscular Activity in Archery: A Comparison of Skill Level.” *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* 58(12):1752–58.

Simsek, D., A. O. Cerrah, H. Ertan, and A. R. Soylu. 2018. “A Comparison of the Ground Reaction Forces of Archers with Different Levels of Expertise during the Arrow Shooting.” *Science and Sports* 34(2):1–9.

Sundstrup, E., M. D. Jakobsen, J. L. Andersen, M. B. Randers, J. Petersen, C. Suetta, P. Aagaard, and P. Krstrup. 2010. “Muscle Function and Postural Balance in Lifelong Trained Male Footballers Compared with Sedentary Elderly Men and Youngsters.” *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports* 20(Supplement 1):90–97.

Tedla, Jaya Shanker, U. V. Shenoy, Sharada L. Nayak, and Kalyana Chakravarthy. 2010. “Reliability of Hand-Held Dynamometer for Strength Testing of Knee Musculature in Healthy Indian Pediatric Population: A Cross-Sectional Study.” *Journal of Physical Therapy* 2:11–18.

Wackerhage, Henning, Brad J. Schoenfeld, D. Lee Hamilton, Maarit Lehti, and Juha J. Hulmi. 2019. “Stimuli and Sensors That Initiate Skeletal Muscle Hypertrophy Following Resistance Exercise.” *Journal of Applied Physiology* 126(1):30–43.

Warren, GL, ND Park, RD Maresca, KI McKibans, and ML Millard-Stafford. 2010. “Effect of Caffeine Ingestion on Muscular Strength and Endurance: A Meta-Analysis.” *Medical Science of Sport Exercise* 42(7):1375–87.

Zech, Astrid, Michael Drey, Ellen Freiberger, Christian Hentschke, Juergen M. Bauer, Cornel C. Sieber, and Klaus Pfeifer. 2012. “Residual Effects of Muscle Strength and Muscle Power Training and Detraining on Physical Function in Community-Dwelling Pre frail Older Adults: A Randomized Controlled Trial.” *BMC Geriatrics* 12(68):1–8.

Zolkafı, Mohd Azrul Anuar, Norsham Juliana, Abdul Rahman Hayati, Noor Aien Mon Sarip, Nur Islami Mohd Fahmi Teng, and Sahar Mohd Azmani. 2018. “Effect of 4-Weeks Traditional Archery Intervention on Hand-Eye Coordination and Upper Limb Reaction Time among Sedentary Youth.” *The Journal of Social Sciences Research* (6):1225–30.

Zolkafı, Mohd Azrul Anuar, Norsham Juliana, Mohd Azmani Sahar, Noor Aien Monsarip, and Hayati Abd Rahman. 2019. “Effects of Eight Weeks Traditional Archery Training on Heart Rate Variability among Sedentary Youth.” *AIP Conference Proceedings* 2138(August).

APPENDIX

Table 1: Subjects’ position and HHD placement for muscle strength assessment.

Muscle	Body position	Joint position	HHD placement	Movement
Elbow flexors (Douma et al. 2014)	Supine lying	Elbow bend at 90°	Proximal to styloid process of radius	Bend the elbow
Elbow extensors (Douma et al. 2014)	Supine lying	Elbow bend at 90°	Proximal to head of ulnar	Straighten the elbow
Shoulder abductors (Douma et al. 2014)	Sitting	Shoulder abduct at 90°	Proximal to lateral epicondyle of humerus	Abduct the shoulder
Knee flexors (Tedla et al. 2010)	Supine lying	Knee bend at 90°	Below to the tendo-achilles	Bending the knee
Knee extensors (Douma et al. 2014)	High sitting	Knee bend at 90°	Proximal to talus	Mimic to kicking a ball
Hip abductors (Moradi et al. 2014)	Side lying	Hip abduct at 45°	Proximal to lateral condyle of femur	Mimic to side kicking

Table 2: Physical characteristic of participants according to groups.

Characteristic	Groups		p value
	ATG (n=17)	CG (n=17)	
Age (years)	21.35±1.32	22.29±1.61	0.072
Height (cm)	165.66±5.16	167.19±5.80	0.422
Weight (kg)	81.07±16.29	86.30±22.01	0.437
BMI (kg/m ²)	29.49±5.39	30.75±6.84	0.553
PBF (%)	33.39±9.19	33.84±9.82	0.892
SMM (kg)	29.68±4.53	31.09±4.25	0.356
TBW (L)	38.71±5.6	40.45±5.18	0.355
BFM (kg)	27.95±12.40	31.07±15.78	0.526

p > 0.05; No significant difference using Independent T-Test

BMI = Body Mass Index; PBF = Body Fat Percentage; SMM = Skeletal Muscle Mass; TBW = Total Body Water; BFM = Body Fat Mass.

Table 3: Muscles strength and hand grip strength before, after training and detraining in sedentary youth, n=34.

Variables	Intervention		After Detraining (lbf)	Time effect (Partial ETA)	Group effect (Partial ETA)	Intervention effect (Partial ETA)
	Baseline (lbf)	Post (lbf)				
Elbow Flexors						
AG	33.60±5.73	49.06±10.30	41.71±6.33	0.001 (0.352) ***	0.010 (0.191) ***	0.001 (0.418) ***
SG	35.11±9.11	34.03±8.83	34.59±8.96			
Elbow Extensors						
AG	29.91±5.40	41.18±7.58	34.70±6.83	0.001 (0.301) ***	0.051 (0.114)	0.001 (0.308) ***
SG	30.45±6.75	30.52±7.57	32.12±8.09			
Shoulder						
Abductors	27.90±7.35	36.60±8.88	30.67±6.62	0.006 (0.168) **	0.908 (0.001)	0.010 (0.148) *
AG	32.39±4.32	32.20±5.78	29.99±6.28			
SG						
Knee flexors						
AG	28.91±3.72	40.31±6.90	32.43±4.44	0.001 (0.450) ***	0.001 (0.315) ***	0.001 (0.288) ***
SG	27.94±5.14	29.83±5.22	28.09±4.71			
Knee extensors						
AG	39.44±10.03	46.00±9.15	48.31±15.12	0.018 (0.117) *	0.257 (0.040)	0.085(0.074)
SG	40.38±9.09	42.42±8.42	41.08±8.39			
Hip abductors						
AG	35.67±10.95	45.91±14.23	39.15±7.92	0.012 (0.147) *	0.880 (0.001)	0.178 (0.054)
SG	40.52±7.82	42.98±7.80	38.28±8.31			
Right Handgrip						
AG	73.80±13.80	91.08±21.06	82.79±14.29	0.006 (0.147) *	0.727 (0.004)	0.097 (0.070)
SG	82.01±11.82	85.49±17.00	84.32±13.71			
Left Handgrip						
AG	66.29±14.09	85.02±20.12	77.04±12.42	0.033 (0.008) *	0.606 (0.008)	0.002 (0.178) ***
SG	77.85±9.89	74.91±12.49	68.95±24.79			

Values are Mean ± standard deviation (SD)

* p < 0.05 - Significant difference using ANOVA repeated measures for both groups

** p < 0.005 - Significant difference using ANOVA repeated measures for both groups

*** p < 0.001 - Significant difference using ANOVA repeated measures for both groups