

# Vegetation Composition and Structure under Mature Oil Palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) Stands

Yenni Asbur<sup>1</sup>, Yayuk Purwaningrum<sup>1</sup> and Mira Ariyanti<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departement of Agrotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Islamic University of North Sumatra, Jalan Karya Wisata Gedung Johor, Medan 20144, Indonesia.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Padjadjaran University. Jalan Raya Bandung-Sumedang km.21, Jatinangor, West Java, Indonesia

**Keywords:** Analysis of Vegetation, Composition, Structure, Oil Palm.

**Abstract:** Generally, vegetation under oil palm stands are still considered as weeds or disturbing plants that must be controlled and the benefits are still below estimations. It is necessary to conduct research concerning identification of vegetation and structure composition under oil palm stands which can be used as cover crop by considering its ecological value. The research was conducted in 9, 13, and 18 years old of mature oil palm plantation in PTPN VII, South Lampung, Indonesia. The study used squares method, measuring 1 m x 1 m, by systematic sampling. Each location used a 1 m x 1 m observation plot with total observation plot is 25 plots per location. At each square, it was recorded and counted the type and number of individual weed, and then each type was separated, and dried to calculate the dominant value. The data obtained were analyzed by calculating K, KR, F, FR, D, DR, INP, and SDR. The results showed that there were differences of composition and vegetation type under 9, 13, and 18 years old of oil palm stands and the biodiversity was classified as high to very high.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Generally, mature oil palm stands were characterized by humid and sheltered environment. Legumes which are used as cover crops for young oil palm tree is intolerant to shade so that when the canopy begins to cover each other, the legumes will be replaced naturally by vegetation that is tolerant to shade and low soil fertility, such as *Asystasiagangetica*, *Nephrolepis biserrata*, *Mikania micrantha*, *Axonopus compressus*, *Cytococcum* sp., *Paspalum conjugatum* and others.

Vegetation under tree stands is an important component in forest and plantation ecosystems; therefore, its role must be taken into account. Vegetation under tree stands is a cover crop layer that consists of herbs, shrubs, lianas and ferns. In the forest ecology, basic vegetation is the strata that is quite important to support the life of other plant species (Manan, 1976).

Generally, in oil palm estate, vegetation under oil palm stands is called weed; therefore, it must be controlled. However, according to the principles and criteria of Roundtable Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO)

and Indonesia Sustainable Palm Oil (ISPO), especially in the sense of environmental responsibility, natural resources conservation, biodiversity as well as technical guidance application of oil palm cultivation and processing with respect to soil conservation and water (Pusat Informasi Kelapa Sawit, 2013), the weeds under oil palm stands should be managed wisely by utilizing them as cover crops, as part of soil and water conservation.

Some researches indicate that vegetation under oil palm stands have important roles in returning nutrients to the soil, reducing surface erosion, reducing nutrient losses, and increasing soil carbon stocks. Maswar (Maswar, 2009) shows that each vegetation biomass under oil palm stands contribute to return carbon to the soil as carbon stocks ranging from 8.0-10.4 t C/ha.

Asburet al. (Asburet al. 2014; 2016) and Ariyantiet al. (Ariyanti et al. 2017) showed that *Asystasiagangetica* (L.) T. Anderson within 30 days can be decomposed by 90.0% -96.6% and contained several nutrients such as N, P, K, effectively reduce erosion and N, P, K nutrient loss, and able to

increase the availability of groundwater in the dry season. Ariyantiet al. (Ariyanti et al.2016) also shows that *Nephrolepisbiserrata*Kuntze effectively reduces water loss due to percolation and runoff. In addition, *N. biserrata* also effectively increases water availability in oil palmplantation during the dry season based on its water balance.

Generally, vegetation under oil palmstands are still considered as weeds or disturbing plants that must be controlled and the benefits arestill below estimations. It is necessary to conduct research concerning identification of vegetation and structure composition under oil palmstands which can be used as cover crop by considering its ecological value.

## 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research was conducted on 9(TM-6), 13(TM-10), and 18(TM-15) years old of mature oil palm plantationat RejosariBusiness Unit, PTPN VII,Natar District,South Lampung, Indonesia.

The study usesquares method, measuring 1 mx 1 m,by systematic sampling(Oosting, 1948). Each location used a 1 mx 1 m observation plot withtotal observationsplot is25 plots per location.

Measurement of abiotic environment was conducted before vegetation analysis. Such measurement is light intensity, temperature and humidity in each experimental site using multimeter tool (modified by AgungYogaswara).Such preliminary observation was conducted to collect the general description of the research site and the oilpalm plantation.

At each square, it was recorded and counted the type and number of individuals weed, then each type was separated, and dried to calculate the dominant value. The data obtained were analyzed by calculating the density (K), relative density (KR), frequency (F), relative frequency (FR), dominance (D), relative dominance (DR), Important Value Index (INP), and Summed Dominance Ratio (SDR). The formula is as follow:

$$K = \frac{\text{Number of individu}}{\text{Sampling Area}} \quad (1)$$

$$KR = \frac{\text{Density of a Type}}{\text{Density of All Type}} \times 100\% \quad (2)$$

$$F = \frac{\text{Number of observation plot for a type}}{\text{Total Observation Plot}} \quad (3)$$

$$FR = \frac{\text{Frequency of a type}}{\text{Frequency of All Type}} \times 100\% \quad (4)$$

$$D = \frac{\text{Dry weight of a type}}{\text{Individul number of a type}} \quad (5)$$

$$DR = \frac{\text{Dominance of a type}}{\text{Dominance of All type}} \times 100\% \quad (6)$$

$$INP = KR + FR + DR \quad (7)$$

$$SDR = \frac{INP}{3} \quad (8)$$

Diversity and stability of each area can be calculated using the Shannon Diversity Index. Shannon's diversity index can be calculated using the Ludwig and Reynold equation (Ludwig and Reynold,1988):

$$H = - \sum_{i=1}^s (p_i) \ln p_i \quad (9)$$

Where: H': Diversity Index; Pi: INP / N; INP: Important Value Index Type i; N: Number of Important Value Indexes of All Types.

Data on weed vegetation structure were analyzed quantitatively among three age groups (9, 13, and 18 years).

## 3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

According to the result of vegetation analysis on 9, 13 and 18 years old of oil palm plantation, it is known that the vegetation composition is not much different (Table 2).

Table 2 shows that there is a difference in vegetation composition under 9, 13 and 18 years old of oil palmstands.In 9 years old, there are 5 types of ferns, 15 species of broadleaf, 11 species of grasses and 1 type of nutgrass. In 13 years old, there are 7 types of ferns, 24 species of broadleaf, 16 types of grasses and 1 type of nutgrass. In 18yearold oil palm, there are 9 types of ferns, 25 broadleaf species, 13 species of grasses and 1 type of nutgrass. However, the similarities of all ages are the dominance of broadleaf species.Soenarsono and Sarangih (Soenarsono and Sarangih,1988) stated that common vegetation found under oil palmstands is mixed vegetation of ferns, broadleaves, grasses, and nutgrass, but dominated by broadleaves species.

According toAfrianti et al. (Afrianti et al.2015), broad-leaved vegetationis found dominant in3-7 years old of oilpalm estate in Rokan Hulu. However,Adriadiet al. (Adriadiet al.2012); Putrie and Praman (Putrie and Praman,2017) found that in 8-yearold of oil palmestate in Kilangan, MuaroBulian, BatangHari and in Petai village, SingingiHilir, KuantanSingingi, the dominant species are grasses.

In these 9, 13, and 18 years of oil palm estate, the most dominant vegetation is *Nephrolepisbiserrata*Kuntze of ferns type with density 23.5 individuals/m<sup>2</sup>, 24.4 individuals/m<sup>2</sup>, and

40.7 individuals/m<sup>2</sup> respectively. Other dominant species are broadleaves species of *Asystasiagangetica* (L.) T. Anderson, namely 28.8 individuals/m<sup>2</sup>, 17.2 individuals/m<sup>2</sup>, and 18.8 individuals/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. Other dominant species are *Paspalum conjugatum* Berg with a density of 15.0 individual/m<sup>2</sup>, 24.9 individual/m<sup>2</sup>, 30.9 individual/m<sup>2</sup> respectively.

*N. biserrata* is a fern species originating from Tropical Asia (Old World Tropics) (Burkill, 1966). This plant has a smooth and scaly leaf surface, 2 cm length and width of 1 cm. The leaf shape pushes and the end is split, while the leaf edge is serrated. The size of the fertile leaves (spore) is larger than the sterile leaves (nosspore). In Indonesia, *N. biserrata* is easy to find in plantations, especially in oil palm. This plant is easy to adapt because epiphytic, and it has dry resistance and creeping rhizome (Putri, 2012). *N. biserrata* can also be found in highlands, dry areas such as deserts, watery or swampy areas and shady forests (Efendi, 2009).

Besides *N. biserrata*, the other dominant vegetation, is *Asystasiagangetica* (L.) T. Anderson. *A. gangetica* is a perennial plant originating from Africa, Arabia (Adetula, 2004), India (Holm *et al.*, 1977) and first introduced to Malaysia in 1876 and 1923 as ornamental plants (Wuart, 2000). In contrast to *N. biserrata*, *A. gangetica* is invasive weeds in oil palm plantation due to its ability to produce large quantities of seeds, which are estimated 27 million seeds per hectare (Priwiratama, 2011), catapulted as far as 6 m (Adetula, 2004), and easy to germinate that it can quickly dominate the land. New plants can also grow from the stem base when touching the ground (Priwiratama, 2011), and within 6 weeks already flowering and produce seeds (Adetula, 2004).

*Paspalum conjugatum* is a weed-grass found in plantation, and as an important weed on some crops (Adriadi *et al.*, 2012). *P. conjugatum* is perennial grass, spread rapidly above ground level with a distance of 5-15 cm, each plant produces roots and leaf buds with a height up to 30 cm. Such grass has a soft, deep green bar with a width of 1 cm and a length up to 20 cm, hairy on both surfaces, and wrinkled edges. There is a ligula, that is a very short membrane with a length of less than 1 mm with a long rim of hair behind it. According to Holm *et al.* (Holm *et al.* 1977), an individual *P. conjugatum* can produce 1,500 seeds that spread easily and germinate immediately. The original habitat is forests and forest edges in humid tropics, but now found in many plantations and other annual croplands. *P. conjugatum* is grown well in full

sunlight and tolerant to partial shade, as well as tolerance to poor acid soils (Cabi, 2018).

The data analysis shows vegetation structure under oil palm stands based on relative density (KR), relative frequency (FR), relative dominance (DR), important value index (INP) and Summed Dominance Ratio (SDR) of the 10 dominant vegetation types for each year of oil palm (Table 3).

In the 9, 13, and 18 years old of oil palm plantation, there are two vegetation types with the highest SDR values namely *Nephrolepis biserrata* Kuntze (19.6%, 18.7%, and 25.9%), and *Asystasiagangetica* (L.) T. Anderson (16.5%, 9.2%, and 8.9%), respectively. Vegetation with the lowest SDR values is different from each age of oil palm. In the 9 year old of oil palm, the lowest SDR values were *Mucunacochinsinensis* (0.1%), while in 13 years old is *Hyptis rhomboidea* Mart. and Gal. (0.2%), and 18 years old is *Urenalobata* L. and *Passiflora foetida* L. (0.3%). This shows that *N. biserrata* and *A. gangetica* are most dominant among other species in this oil palm plantation.

In the three different ages, *N. biserrata* and *A. gangetica* has higher relative density, relative frequencies and relative dominance than other vegetation, because the two species have the largest number of individuals and always found in every plot and its wide spread. In turn, *N. biserrata* and *A. gangetica* have the highest INP and SDR.

Besides *N. biserrata* and *A. gangetica*, other vegetations that have high relative density, relative frequencies and relative dominance in each of oil palm plantation are *S. indica.*, *P. conjugatum*, *A. compressus*, *S. spontaneum*, *S. plicata*, *A. cuneatum*, *A. tenerum*, and *E. hirta* at 9 year old oil palm plantation; *S. indica*, *O. compositus*, *A. compressus*, *B. mutica*, *S. spontaneum*, *E. hirta*, and *C. hirta* at 13 years old; and *P. conjugatum*, *S. indica*, *C. oxyphyllum*, *S. spontaneum*, *C. hirta*, *A. compressus*, *O. nodosa*, and *O. barrelieri* at 18 years old.

Shannon diversity index is divided into several criteria, namely  $H > 3.0$  (very high),  $H = 1.5-3.0$  (high),  $H = 1.0-1.5$  (moderate), and  $H < 1$  (low) (Margurran, 2004). Accordingly, the biodiversity index ( $H'$ ) in 18 and 13 years old of oil palm plantation for all weeds is 3.1 and 3.2 (very high), and 2.9 (high) in 9 years old. Adriadi *et al.* (2012) confirm such a finding for oil palm plantation in Kilangan, Muaro Bulian, Batang Hari which shows the index of biodiversity is also high, ie 3.14. The biodiversity value of an organism depends on the large number of individuals found in the community (Odum, 1996).

Abiotic environmental factors in different ages of palm oil estate are presented in Table 1. The diversity of vegetation species grown in palm oil is influenced by the growing environment. Sastroutomo (1990) explains that vegetation species that grow in one place and another place will be different, either on the same or different plantation, because the vegetation will adapt to the appropriate environmental conditions for its growth.

Table 1: Measurement data of abiotic environmental factors in different ages of oil palm plantation, Rejosari Business Unit, PTPN VII, Natar District, Regency of South Lampung.

Environmental factors	Age (Year)		
	9	13	18
Temperature (°C)	25.2-30.0	25.4-27.3	25.2-29.1
Light intensity (lux)	926.1-1054.0	974.2-985.4	675.4-843.1
Air humidity (%)	59.2-70.4	71.4-77.1	60.2-62.0
Soil humidity (%)	51.2-55.3	55.1-60.0	51.3-58.1

Table 1 shows that the abiotic environment in the palm oil plantation is already shaded with humidity above 50% and low light intensity. This shows that *N. biserrata* and *A. gangetica* are shade tolerant vegetation, because higher SDR values than other vegetation (Table 3).

Abiotic environmental conditions are also associated with optimum growing requirement for vegetation that grows well at such conditions, including *A. gangetica*. According to vegetation analysis in Table 2, *A. gangetica* is a vegetation that grows well in mature oil palm stands, either 9, 13, and 18 years old. Although the density of *A. gangetica* is the highest in the stands of 9 year old, *A. gangetica* is still able to grow well in oil palm stands aged 13 and 18 years. This indicates that *A. gangetica* is able to grow well in a shaded condition. Such a character makes *A. gangetica* able to be used as cover crop in mature oil palm plantations.

## 4 CONCLUSIONS

There is different vegetation composition under 9, 13, and 18 years old of oil palm stands but dominated by broadleaves vegetation.

According to SDR value, the vegetation structure under 9, 13 and 18 years old of oil palm stands is

dominated by *Nephrolepis biserrata* Kuntze, *Asystasia gangetica* (L.) T. Anderson, *Paspalum conjugatum* Berg., *Stachytarpheta indica* (L.) Vahl., *Saccarum spontaneum*, and *Axonopus compressus*.

The biodiversity of vegetation under 9, 13 and 18 years old of palm oil stands is fall in high to very high (2.93-3.23).

## REFERENCES

- Adetula O.A., 2004. *Asystasiagangetica* (L.) Anderson. Record from PROTA4U. Grubben GJH and Denton OA (Editors). PROTA (Plant Resources of Tropical Africa/Ressources végétales de l'Afrique tropicale), Wageningen, Netherlands. [www.prota4u.org/search.asp](http://www.prota4u.org/search.asp). Accessed 23 Mei 2018.
- Adriadi, A., Chairul, Solfiyeni. 2012. Vegetation analysis of weed in palm oil plantation (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) in Kilangan, Muaro Bulian, Batang Hari. *J. Bio. UA.* 1(2): 108-115.
- Afrianti, I., Yolanda, R., Purnama, A.A., 2015. Analisis vegetasi gulma pada perkebunan kelapa sawit (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) di desa Suka Maju Kecamatan Rambah Kabupaten Rokan Hulu. *e-journal.upp.ac.id/index.php/fkipbiologi/article/view/333/339*. Accessed 8 June 2018.
- Ariyanti, M., Yahya, S., Murti Laksono, K., Suwanto, Siregar, H.H., 2016. Water balance in oil palm plantation with ridge terrace and *Nephrolepis biserrata* as cover crop. *Journal of Tropical Crop Science* 3(2):35-41.
- Ariyanti, M., Mubarak, S., Asbur, Y., 2017. Study of *Asystasiagangetica* (L.) T. Anderson as cover crop against soil water content in mature oil palm plantation. *Journal of Agronomy* 16(4): 154-159. doi: 10.3923/ja.2017.154.159.
- Asbur, Y., Yahya, S., Murti Laksono, K., Sudradjat, Sutarta, E.S., 2014. The Potentials of *Asystasiagangetica* (L.) T. Anderson as Cover Crop under Mature Oil Palm Plantation In *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference Multidisciplinary Research*, 124-128 pp. Medan, October 16-18, 2014
- Asbur, Y., Yahya, S., Murti Laksono, K., Sudradjat, Sutarta, E.S., 2016. The roles of *Asystasiagangetica* (L.) T. Anderson and ridge terrace in reducing soil erosion and nutrient losses in oil palm plantation in South Lampung, Indonesia. *Journal of Tropical Crop Science* 3(2): 53-60.
- Burkill, I.H., 1966. *A Dictionary of Economic Products of the Malay Peninsula*. Vol. I and II. Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Cabi., 2018. *Paspalum conjugatum* (sour paspalum). <https://www.cabi.org/isc/datasheet/38951>. Accessed 8 June 2018.

- Efendi., 2009. Tumbuhan Paku :*Nephrolepis biserrata*. www.bay3efendi.wordpress.com. Accessed 8 June 2018.
- Holm, L.G., Plucknett, D.L., Pancho, J.V., Herberger, J.P., 1977.*The World's Worst Weeds*. University of Hawaii Press Honolulu. 609 pp.
- Ludwig, A.J., Reynolds, F.J., 1998. *Statistical Ecology. A Primer on Methods and Computing*. Wiley. New York.
- Magurran, A., 2004. *Measuring Biological Diversity*. Blackwell Publishing. United Kingdom, USA, Australia, Germany.
- Manan, S., 1976. Pengaruh Hutandan Manajemen Daerah Aliran Sungai. Fakultas Kehutanan IPB. Bogor.
- Maswar., 2009. Kecepatan dekomposisi biomassa dan akumulasi karbon pada konversi lahan gambut menjadi perkebunan kelapa sawit. In *Prosiding dan Lokakarya Nasional Inovasi Sumberdaya Lahan. Buku II: Teknologi Konservasi, Pemupukan, dan Biologi Tanah*. Balai Besar Penelitian dan Pengembangan Sumberdaya Lahan Pertanian. Badan Penelitian dan Pengembangan Pertanian, Kementerian Pertanian. www.balitanah.litbang.deptan.go.id. Accessed 28 May 2018.
- Odum, E.P., 1996. *Dasar-Dasar Ekologi*. Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta.
- Oosting, H.J., 1948. *The Study of Plant Communities*. D.J. Chivers (Ed.). Plenum Pres. New York.
- Priwiratama, H., 2011. *Asystasia gangetica* (L.) subsp. micrantha (Nees). Informasi organisme pengganggu tanaman. Pusat Penelitian Kelapa Sawit, vol. G-0001: 1-2.
- Pusat Informasi Kelapa Sawit., 2013. Indonesia Sustainable Palm Oil (ISPO). www.informasi-kelapasawit.blogspot.com. Accessed 2 May 2018.
- Putri, D.I., 2012. Paku *Nephrolepis* di Colan Talun. www.dayunirwanaputri.blogspot.com. Accessed 8 June 2018.
- Putrie, K., Pramana, A., 2017. Vegetation analysis of weed in mature and immature oil palm plantation (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq) at Petai region Singingi, Kuantan Singingi. *Jurnal Pertanian UMSB* 1(2): 8-13.
- Sastroutomo., 1990. *Ekologi Gulma*. Gramedia Pustaka Utama. Jakarta.
- Wiar, C., 2000. *Medicinal Plants of Southeast Asia*. Pelanduk Publications. Subang Jaya, Malaysia.

## APPENDIX

Table 2: Vegetation composition under different age of oil palm stand, Rejosari business unit, PTPN VII, Natar district, Regency of South Lampung.

No.	Family	Species	Density per m <sup>2</sup>		
			9 years	13 years	18 years
			.....individu/m <sup>2</sup> .....		
<i>Ferns</i>					
1	Nephrosidaceae	<i>Nephrolepis biserrata</i> Kuntze	23.5	24.4	40.7
2	Athyroideae	<i>Diplazium esculentum</i>	-	0.2	1.0
3	Licopodiaceae	<i>Licopodium seantum</i>	-	-	1.1
4	Athyroideae	<i>Diplazium asperum</i>	-	-	1.2
5	Thelypteridaceae	<i>Cyclosorus aridus</i>	0.8	1.3	0.9
6	Ophioglossaceae	<i>Ophioglossum reticulatum</i>	0.5	0.9	0.6
7	Gleicheniaceae	<i>Dicranopteris linearis</i>	-	-	1.2
8	Woodsiaceae	<i>Atryrium sorzogonense</i>	-	-	0.5
9	Dicksoniaceae	<i>Dicksonia blumei</i>	-	0.2	0.7
10	Adiantaceae	<i>Cheilanthes tennifolia</i>	-	0.3	-
11		<i>Adiantum tenerum</i>	3.1	-	-
12	Aspleniaceae	<i>Asplenium cuneatum</i>	1.8	0.2	-
<i>Broadleaf</i>					
1	Acanthaceae	<i>Asystasia gangetica</i> (L.) T. Anderson	28.8	17.2	18.8
2		<i>Emilia sonchifolia</i> L.	-	-	0.5
3	Asteraceae	<i>Chromolaena odorata</i> (L.)	-	0.6	2.0
4		<i>Mikania micrantha</i>	2.1	1.9	2.1
5		<i>Elephantopus tomentosus</i> L.	-	-	0.2
6		<i>Clibadium surinamense</i> L.	-	0.3	-
7		<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> L.	4.3	3.5	-
8		<i>Crassocephalum crepidioides</i>	-	0.2	-
9	Verbenaceae	<i>Stachytarpheta indica</i> (L.) Vahl.	10.4	12.0	6.2
10		<i>Lantana camara</i> L.	0.5	-	0.6

No.	Family	Species	Density per m <sup>2</sup>		
			9years	13 years	18years
			.....individu/m <sup>2</sup> .....		
11	Euphorbiaceae	<i>Croton hirtus</i> L. Herrit	0.8	0.6	1.7
12		<i>Euphorbia hirta</i> L.	2.2	5.0	2.2
13		<i>Phyllanthus niruri</i> L.	0.4	0.8	0.8
14		<i>Euphorbia heterophylla</i> Jacq	-	0.7	1.0
15	Convolvulaceae	<i>Ipomoea</i> spp	-	-	1.2
16	Oxalidaceae	<i>Oxalis barrelieri</i> L.	1.4	2.4	3.3
17	Melastromataceae	<i>Clidemia hirta</i> Don.	3.4	4.8	6.2
18	Leguminoceae	<i>Pueraria triloba</i>	-	-	0.4
19		<i>Mucuna bracteata</i>	1.8	1.1	-
20		<i>Mucuna cochinsinensis</i>	0.1	-	-
21		<i>Pueraria javanica</i>	-	0.4	-
22	Rubiaceae	<i>Borreria latifolia</i>	-	0.7	0.8
23		<i>Borreria laevis</i> (Lamk) Griseb.	1.0	0.6	0.4
24	Labiatae	<i>Hyptis brevipes</i> Poit.	-	-	0.4
25		<i>Hyptis rhomboidea</i> Mart. & Gal.	-	0.2	-
26	Passifloraceae	<i>Passiflora foetida</i> L.	-	0.7	0.3
27	Capparidaceae	<i>Cleome rutidosperma</i> DC.	2.5	0.7	2.1
28	Mimosaceae	<i>Mimosa pudica</i> Linn.	-	0.2	0.6
29		<i>Mimosa invisa</i> Mart.	-	-	0.1
30	Malvaceae	<i>Sida rhombifolia</i> L.	-	0.2	0.2
31		<i>Urena lobata</i> L.	-	-	0.2
32		Semaian liar kelapa sawit/tukulan	-	0.8	0.8
33	Melastomaceae	<i>Melastoma malabathricum</i> L.	1.7	2.4	-
<b>Grass</b>					
1	Gramineae	<i>Ottochloanodosa</i> (Kunth.) Dandy	3.5	3.6	8.1
2		<i>Saccarumspontaneum</i>	7.0	11.0	14.2
3		<i>Cyrtococcumacrescens</i> (Trin.) Stapf	-	3.5	3.4
4		<i>Cyrtococcumoxyphyllum</i> Stapf	-	4.4	17.7
5		<i>Oplismenuscompositus</i> (L.) Beauv.	-	20.9	1.2
6		<i>Axonopuscompressus</i> (Swartz) Beauv.	12.8	12.4	7.1
7		<i>Paspalumconjugatum</i> Berg.	15.0	24.9	30.9
8		<i>Digitariaadscendens</i> (HBK) Henr.	-	-	1.5
9		<i>Digitariasetigera</i>	-	-	0.8
10		<i>Setariaplicata</i> (Lamk) T.Cooke	7.0	11.1	1.7
11		<i>Setariabarbata</i> (Lam.) Kunth.	0.4	0.5	-
12		<i>Sporobolusdiander</i> (Retz.) Beauv.	-	0.3	-
13		<i>Paspalumcommersonii</i> Lamk	-	0.9	2.1
14		<i>Brachiarapaspaloides</i>	-	-	0.7
15		<i>Brachiariamutica</i>	-	9.6	-
16		<i>Brachiariadistachya</i> Linn.	1.7	6.9	-
17		<i>Cynodondactylon</i> (L.) Pers.	0.5	0.2	3.0
18		<i>Dactylocteniumaegyptium</i> (L.) Richt.	-	0.2	-
19		<i>Eleusineindica</i> (L.) Gaertn.	1.0	1.4	-
20		<i>Imperatacylindrica</i> L.	4.5	-	-
21		<i>Chentothecalappacea</i> (L.) Desv.	5.1	-	-
<b>Nutgrass</b>					
1	Cyperaceae	<i>Cyperuskylingia</i> Endl.	2.6	4.2	6.7

Table 3: Ten vegetation species with high SDR (Summed Dominance Ratio) under different age of oil palm stand, Rejosari business unit, PTPN VII, Natar district, Regency of South Lampung.

No.	Species	KR (%)	FR (%)	DR (%)	INP (%)	SDR (%)
<b>9 Years</b>						
1	<i>Nephrolepis biserrata</i> Kuntze	15.4	5.5	37.9	58.9	19.6
2	<i>Asystasiagangetica</i> (L.) T. Anderson	18.9	5.3	25.2	49.5	16.5
3	<i>Stachytarpheta indica</i> (L.) Vahl.	6.8	5.0	8.5	20.3	6.8
4	<i>Paspalum conjugatum</i> Berg.	9.8	4.8	1.8	16.4	5.5
5	<i>Axonopus compressus</i>	8.4	4.1	3.3	15.8	5.3
6	<i>Saccarum spontaneum</i>	4.6	4.8	0.6	10.0	3.3
7	<i>Setaria applicata</i> (Lamk) T. Cooke	4.6	4.6	0.4	9.6	3.2
8	<i>Asplenium cuneatum</i>	1.2	3.6	4.3	9.1	3.0
9	<i>Adiantum tenerum</i>	2.1	3.1	3.2	8.3	2.8
10	<i>Euphorbia hirta</i> L.	1.4	4.3	2.2	7.9	2.6
Diversity Index (H) = 3.1 (very high)						
<b>13 Years</b>						
1	<i>Nephrolepis biserrata</i> Kuntze	12.1	4.3	39.7	56.1	18.7
2	<i>Asystasiagangetica</i> (L.) T. Anderson	8.5	4.1	15.1	27.7	9.2
3	<i>Stachytarpheta indica</i> (L.) Vahl.	5.9	3.7	9.9	19.6	6.5
4	<i>Paspalum conjugatum</i> Berg.	12.4	3.9	3.0	19.3	6.4
5	<i>Oplismenus compositus</i> (L.) Beauv.	10.4	4.1	1.6	16.1	5.4
6	<i>Axonopus compressus</i>	6.2	3.9	3.2	13.3	4.4
7	<i>Brachiaria mutica</i>	4.7	3.4	2.9	11.0	3.7
8	<i>Saccarum spontaneum</i>	5.5	3.5	0.9	9.9	3.3
9	<i>Euphorbia hirta</i> L.	2.5	2.2	4.0	8.7	2.9
10	<i>Clidemia hirta</i> Don.	2.4	3.7	2.3	8.4	2.8
Diversity Index (H) = 3.2 (very high)						
<b>18 Years</b>						
1	<i>Nephrolepis biserrata</i> Kuntze	20.3	4.1	53.2	77.6	25.9
2	<i>Asystasiagangetica</i> (L.) T. Anderson	9.4	4.1	13.4	26.8	8.9
3	<i>Paspalum conjugatum</i> Berg.	15.4	4.1	3.0	22.5	7.5
4	<i>Cyrtococum oxiphyllum</i> Stapf	8.8	3.9	0.6	13.3	4.4
5	<i>Saccarum spontaneum</i>	7.1	3.5	0.9	11.5	3.8
6	<i>Clidemia hirta</i> Don.	3.1	3.9	2.5	9.4	3.1
7	<i>Stachytarpheta indica</i> (L.) Vahl.	3.1	4.1	2.1	9.3	3.1
8	<i>Axonopus compressus</i> (Swartz) Beauv.	3.6	3.7	1.5	8.7	2.9
9	<i>Ottochloa nodosa</i> (Kunth.) Dandy	4.1	3.0	0.3	7.4	2.5
10	<i>Oxalis barrelieri</i> L.	1.6	3.3	1.7	6.6	2.2
Diversity Index (H) = 2.9 (high)						