Pattern-based Classification of Rhythms

Johannes Fliege, Frank Seifert and André Richter

Dept. of Computer Science, Chemnitz University of Technology, 09107, Chemnitz, Germany

Keywords: Rhythm Classification, Music Information Retrieval, Knowledge Modelling, Pattern Extraction.

Abstract: We present a pattern-based approach for the rhythm classification task that combines an Auto-correlation function (ACF) and Discrete Fourier transform (DFT). Rhythm hypotheses are first extracted from symbolic input data, e.g. MIDI, by the ACF. These hypotheses are analysed by the use of DFT to remove duplicates before the classification process. The classification of rhythms is performed using ACF in combination with rhythm patterns contained in a knowledge base. We evaluate this method using pre-labelled input data and discuss our results. We show that a knowledge-based approach is reasonable to address the problem of rhythm classification for symbolic data.

1 INTRODUCTION

Access to music is ubiquitous. Internet archives of enormous size can be searched for any demanded track. However, in many cases search criteria are limited to Meta-information such as title, album, interpreter or composer. Searching intuitively on the basis of semantic information like rhythmic, melodic or instrumental properties is usually not offered. Nevertheless, it would be helpful in cases where other metadata is not available. Thus, in order to provide search techniques that can offer these content-based modes, automated methods to retrieve this information are necessary.

1.1 Motivation

The use of percussion is common in contemporary music. In most cases these instruments are used to play recurring rhythmic patterns. Nevertheless, this rhythmic accompaniment is not of an absolute steady character but may be interrupted by so-called fill-ins or variations. Rhythms may even be superseded by other rhythms in one piece of music. These points raise the question for an approach that can identify rhythms in a piece of music and is able to manage fill-ins or rests. Furthermore, the approach would need to be able to handle multiple rhythms that may occur in a music track.

1.2 Paper Organization

In Section 2 we present related work and outline the need for our work. In Section 3 we present our approach of rhythm classification. In Section 4 we evaluate our method and present test results. Section 5 concludes and gives directions for future work and further improvements.

2 RELATED WORK

Various methods that address the classification of musical genres have already been presented. These approaches are based on either audio data, e.g. tracks in wav-format, or on symbolic music data such as MusicXML or General MIDI. Furthermore, the presented approaches need to be differentiated by their proposed methods of music information retrieval. They can be grouped into the following four categories.

Feature-based Approaches: Paulus and Klapuri (2002) propose a method to compare rhythms, which uses a database of nine rhythm patterns. The comparison is based on several features, such as loudness and brightness that are extracted from audio. The feature vectors are matched by a dynamic time warping algorithm. Tzanetakis and Cook (2002) present a method for music genre classification by deriving several features from a beat histogram in combination with other music audio content features. The approach is based upon

Fliege J., Seifert F. and Richter A.. Pattern-based Classification of Rhythms

DOI: 10.5220/0004919507470752 In Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Pattern Recognition Applications and Methods (ICPRAM-2014), pages 747-752 ISBN: 978-989-758-018-5

Copyright © 2014 SCITEPRESS (Science and Technology Publications, Lda.)

audio. Peeters (2011) presents an approach that is also based on audio. First, the onset positions are evaluated by an energy function. Based on this function, vector representations of rhythm characteristics are computed. For classifying these rhythms, four feature sets of these vectors are studied which are derived by applying DFT and ACF. Next, various ratios of the local tempo are applied to these vectors. Finally, a classification task measures the ability of these periodicity representations to describe the rhythm characteristics of audio items.

Pattern-based Approaches: Ellis and Arroyo (2004) present an approach that uses Principal Components Analysis (PCA) to classify drum patterns. First, measure length and downbeat position are estimated for each track of a collection of 100 drum beat sequences given in General MIDI files. From each of these input patterns, a short sequence is passed to the PCA resulting in as set of basic patterns. A classification task is performed with them producing about 20 % correctly classified results. Murakami and Miura (2008) present an approach to classify drum-rhythm patterns into "basic rhythm" and "fill-in" patterns. Based on symbolic representations of music, i.e. General MIDI tracks, instruments are grouped by their estimated importance on playing roles in either "basic rhythm" patterns or "fill-in" patterns or both. These three groups model drum rhythm patterns. Expecting a minimum input of one measure in 4/4 beat the classification is performed based on neighbourhood comparison. Thev achieve classification result of up to 76 %.

Source Separation based Approaches: Tsunoo, Ono & Sagayama (2009) propose a method to describe rhythm by classifying track spectrograms based on audio. Thus, percussive and harmonic components of a track are first separated by the method described in Ono et al. (2008) followed by clustering the percussive part in a combination of One-Pass Dynamic Programming algorithm and kmeans clustering. Finally, the frame of each track is assigned to a cluster. The corresponding track's spectrogram is used to classify the rhythms. They achieve accuracies of up to 97.8 % for House music.

Psychoacoustic-based Approach: Rauber, Pampalk and Merkl (2002) propose a method to automatically create a hierarchical organization of music archives based on perceived sound similarity. First, several pre-processing steps are applied. All tracks of the archives are divided into segments of fixed length followed by the extraction of frequency spectra based on the Bark scale in order to reproduce human perception of frequency. Finally, the specific loudness sensation in Sone is calculated. After these pre-processing steps a time invariant representation of each piece of music is generated. In the last step of processing, these patterns are used for classification via Self-Organizing Maps. The method is based on audio.

Although approaches on solving the problem of rhythm classification have already been presented yet the success rates can only be regarded as satisfying for specific genres, e.g. Popular music or House music (Ono et al., 2008) or ballroom dance music (Peeters, 2011). Furthermore, the majority of approaches (Paulus and Klapuri, 2002; Tzanetakis and Cook, 2002; Peeters, 2011; Tsunoo, Ono & Sagayama, 2009; Ono et. Al, 2008; Rauber, Pampalk and Merkl, 2002) rely on audio. Thus, further effort is required to improve classification methods that address symbolic data.

3 CLASSIFYING RHYTHM PATTERNS

In this paper we present an approach for the classification of music rhythms that treats rhythm as a sequence of N notes with a time difference between the onsets of adjacent notes. Our method is based on symbolic data in order to be able to access all necessary information for each note directly. Thus, by not using audio, we can exclude further sources of error, e.g. detecting the onset positions of notes. Although numerous onset detection approaches are known their reliability is still inadequate for excluding them as a possible source of error (Collins, 2005).

We compare and classify rhythms in four steps. Step one covers all necessary preliminary computations; in step two all possible, i.e. hypothetical rhythm patterns are extracted; step three reduces the number of rhythm hypotheses and finally, step four performs the classification task utilizing a knowledge base. Fig. 1 illustrates this concept.

However, to limit the number of possible sources of error, we only focus on drum rhythm patterns and limit our method to the use of temporal information and accentuation as features for the classification task. Furthermore, evaluated sequences are limited to a length of 30 s in order to reduce computational complexity.



Figure 1: Overview of the rhythm classification approach.

3.1 Preliminary Computations

The preliminary computations of our approach cover the extraction of musical events from the MIDI data and the temporal clustering of these events.

In order to provide a basis for succeeding computations the input is processed, thus, extracting a sequence S of musical events e, i.e. notes and sorting them by time. For each event e_i , the extraction process covers the onset time t_e^i , the accentuation according to the MIDI velocity v_e^i , and the MIDI instrument specification $inst_e^i$. The latter parameter is of special interest since our approach is based on percussive instruments. Thus, only events created by a percussion instrument are taken into account.

The temporal clustering of these events is based on the perception of periodic pitches (Sethares, 2007). The minimum time difference that can be perceived is at approximately 0.02 s for click sound events or higher for more complex sound events (Pfleiderer, 2006). Sethares (2007) instead claims that minimum interval of 0.05 s is necessary to perceive these events separately. We decided to uses the mean value of these times as threshold for the clustering process, i.e. 0.35 s. Thus, all events e_i and e_j with a smaller time difference can be assigned a new equal onset time t_{new} (see (1)). This step also reduces the sensitivity for intended or unintended inaccuracies in a piece of music.

$$t_{new} = \frac{t_e^i \cdot v_e^j + t_e^j \cdot v_e^j}{v_e^j + v_e^j} \tag{1}$$

3.2 Extracting Rhythm Hypotheses

In order to create a set of rhythm pattern hypotheses all recurring patterns need to be identified. Our approach uses a discrete Auto-correlation function to compute similarity values for possible rhythm hypotheses. First, a regular grid is applied to perform temporal discretization of the continuoustime input.

3.2.1 Temporal Discretization

Applying a regular grid to the pre-processed input performs discretization. The grid resolution *R* needs to ensure that separate events remain separate when they are mapped to the grid. Nevertheless, a coarse grid resolution might change the structure of a pattern, which should also be avoided. Thus, in (2), *R* depends on the temporal distances of each two events e_i and e_j and is limited to $R_{max} = 0.1 s$. Equation (3) shows the mapping of an event *e* to its position on the grid.



Based on the discrete-time events, a modified autocorrelation function is applied to the time frames f_s and f_t . These time frames limit the length of a rhythm pattern since very long rhythmic structures are not addressed by the approach because long structures (patterns) would violate the concept of the perceptual present (Pfleiderer, 2006) and might include multiple perceived gestalts. Thus, the frame length is fixed to 4 s, and the maximum start time difference of two frames $t_{dif max}$ is constrained to $t_{dif max} = 6$ s. The resulting tolerance of 2 s between frames was introduced to improve the robustness for rests, fill-ins or breaks. These anomalies might disconnect the sequence of rhythms. For applying the ACF, f_s is fixed in time while f_t is shifted in steps of R until $t_{dif max}$ is reached. In Fig. 2 we illustrate this concept.

For each step *i* of f_t the correlation coefficient *Cor* is evaluated depending on the grid positions s_i and t_i of f_s and f_t , respectively. In detail, *Cor* depends on the accentuation *a* at each these positions. The formula is given in (4); *Y* denotes the number of grid positions per frame.

$$\operatorname{Cor}(s,t) = \sum_{i=0}^{Y-1} \left(a(s+i) \cdot a(t+i) \right)$$
(4)

In (5) the quotient of the correlation coefficients of f_s and f_t is evaluated for the fixed grid position sand the variable position s + i. We denote it as normalized correlation coefficient $NCor_i(s)$.



Figure 2: Time frame concept for the ACF. Frame f_s is fixed while f_t is shifted across the time axis in steps of R. Both frames have a length of 4 s; their start times may differ at most by 6 s.

$$NCor_{i}(s) = \frac{Cor(s, s+i)}{Cor(s, s)}; i = 0 . \left\lfloor \frac{6}{R} \right\rfloor$$
(5)

A set *H* containing rhythm hypotheses $H_{m,n}$ is created on the basis of (5). For each $NCor_x(y)$ an element $H_{m,n}$ is added to *H*. Each element is based on a time domain $T_{m,n}$ with m = y and n = min((y + x), (y + Y)). Furthermore, $H_{m,n}$ can only be part of *H* if it contains at least two events e_1 and e_2 with $r(e_1) \neq r(e_2)$. These rhythm hypotheses are fundamental to all further evaluations.

3.3 Validation of Rhythm Hypotheses

Set H contains all computed rhythm hypotheses. Based on the assumption that true rhythms occur more often than false identified hypotheses, H will be filtered by quantity. Furthermore, equal rhythm hypotheses may occur phase-shifted. This issue will be addressed by DFT.

A quantitative filter is applied based on the assumption that many occurrences of a rhythm lead to large number of rhythm hypotheses of equal length. Thus, a histogram h is composed of the durations of all elements in H. The durations form histogram categories. To filter by quantity, the arithmetic mean A is evaluated as a function of the number of elements per category. Hypotheses that belong to a category with fewer values than A are rejected. All others form classes $class_p$ based on their histogram categories; $_p$ denotes the duration.

For each $class_p$, a DFT is applied to all contained hypotheses $H_{m,n}$ to calculate their frequencydependent representations. Subsequently, correlating these representations for each two hypotheses *i* and *j* of *p* results in the similarity value $c_{i,j}$. The aggregated similarity value s_i is evaluated for each *i* by summing all $c_{i,j}$ of *p*. Hence, the three most similar rhythm hypotheses, indicated by s_i , are added to set *D*. *D* forms the input data set for the classification process.

3.4 Classification

The classification of the rhythm hypotheses in D is performed as final step. For each element in D, matching candidates are chosen from a set W of rhythms, i.e. from our knowledge base. Finally, the rhythm hypotheses are classified.

Two rhythms might not be perceived as similar to each other if their duration differs by more than a certain amount. This may happen although their structure appears to be equal when being normalized to equal length. Thus, for each $d \in D$, we chose to exclude all rhythms from W that are more than 50 % shorter or longer than d. The value had been evaluated during our experiments. The remaining subset of W is called W_d .

Next, the duration of all $w \in W_d$ is altered to the length of *d* and a regular grid is applied to *w* using the same parameters as in the temporal discretization step of *d*. The modification of the temporal representation of *w* to the length of *d* is performed in order to be able to apply the ACF in the next step.

Finally, classification is performed by the means of ACF. In (6) the the correlation coefficient $W_{d,w}$ is calculated. The accentuation values a_w and a_d of w and d are correlated at grid position r. Possible phase shifts i are also considered. l denotes the number of grid positions of both d and w.

$$W_{d,w}(i) = \frac{\sum_{r=0}^{l-1} \min((a_d(i+r) \cdot a_w(r)), (a_w(i+r) \cdot a_w(r)))}{l} \quad (6)$$

The reference value W_{ref} of the ACF is evaluated as a function of the sum of the square of a_w of all grid positions t of w. The result of the classification of d and w $E_{d,w}$ is expressed in (7) by the maximum value q of the ACF of d and w.

$$E_{d,w} = \left\{ (q,d,w) \mid q = \max\left\{ \left\{ \frac{W_{d,w}(i)}{W_{ref}} \mid i = 0..(l-1) \right\} \right\} \right\}$$
(7)

All classification results of an input file form the set E (see (8)).

$$\boldsymbol{E} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{E}_{d, \boldsymbol{w}} \, | \, \forall \boldsymbol{d} \in \boldsymbol{D}, \boldsymbol{w} \in \boldsymbol{W}_{d} \right\}$$
(8)

4 EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION

In this part, we evaluate our presented rhythm classification approach. In our evaluation, we focus on swing and rock since percussive instruments play a major role in music of this genre.

4.1 The Knowledge Base

Our approach requires a knowledge base. Thus, we define a knowledge base that contains 10 rhythm patterns. Five of these are categorized as swing patterns, five as rock patterns. All of them were taken from a drum kit textbook (Kramme and Kiesant, 1981). Currently, at the basic state of our method, we consider this number to be sufficient for testing.

4.2 Test-set

Our test-set is formed of 465 MIDI tracks of the genres Rock and Swing. More precisely, 223 Rock tracks and 242 Swing tracks are included. These tracks are of various lengths. Nevertheless, some of them cannot be taken into account for our experiment since our method shall be applied to sequences of a length of 30 s, as we already pointed out in Section 3. Thus, shorter tracks are removed. For longer tracks, our system chooses the first 30 s. The majority of the tracks that fulfil the length requirement contain only drum sequences, a minor part contains drum sequences and other instruments and a negligible part does not contain drum sequences at all. The tracks that belong to the latter part are removed since the approach addresses only drum rhythm patterns. For tracks containing nonpercussive and percussive instruments, the nonpercussive sequences are ignored automatically.

By applying these limitations, 9 out of 223 Rock tracks and 25 out of 242 Swing tracks are removed. Finally, they form a Rock test-set containing 214 tracks and a Swing test-set of 217 tracks.

4.3 Results

We perform experiments with the proposed system in order to answer the question if it is capable of identifying rhythms of pre-labelled tracks correctly, i.e. identifying the genre by comparing it to the rhythmic patterns contained in the knowledge base. Furthermore, we were interested in the quality of the classification result, which is indicated by q (see (7)).

The results of these experiments are presented in Table 1 and Table 2. The values calculated on the basis of the rock test-set show that all tracks could be identified to belong to the genre Rock with a probability of at least 50 %. The major part could be assigned a probability of 80 % or more to belong to its pre-labelled genre. If more than one rhythm could be identified for a track, only the pattern with the highest quality value was regarded for the results.

If we assume that a classification result of at least 80 % is sufficient to claim that a track belongs to the genre Rock, we can say that our approach achieved a classification ratio of 73.4 % for this specific genre.

The Swing test-set's results differ slightly from the Rock test-set's results. Although 147 of 217 tracks could be classified to be Swing with a probability of $q \ge 0.8$, 6 tracks did not achieve a classification result of 50 % or more. Furthermore, the number of tracks classified with a probability of at least 95 % sufficiently lower than in the Rock test-set's results. Applying the 80 % threshold to the Swing experiment, a success rate of 67.7 % can be assumed.



Our approach can be contrasted with other work that is limited to specific values of features. Murakami and Miura (2008) have presented a system able to classify rhythm patterns based on symbolic data in 4/4 meter. They also limit the scope of their approach to popular music. Ellis and Arroyo (2004) developed an approach that looks at the sequence of the beats to perform automatic classification of contemporary musical genres. We do not limit our approach to specific musical genres but restrict it to data that contains percussive instruments. Besides this limitation, we consider aspects of auditory perception and the concept of the perceptual present in rhythm classification approach.

Table 1: Classification results of the Rock test-set. The table shows the probability to be Rock for all Rock tracks.

Probability value	Number of tracks
q ≥ 0.95	83
$0.8 \le q < 0.95$	74
$0.65 \le q < 0.8$	53
$0.5 \le q < 0.65$	4
q < 0.5	0

Table 2: Classification results of the Swing test-set. The table shows the probability to be Swing for all Swing tracks.

Probability value	Number of tracks
q ≥ 0.95	58
$0.8 \le q < 0.95$	89
$0.65 \le q < 0.8$	40
$0.5 \le q < 0.65$	24
q < 0.5	6

The results produced by our system depend fundamentally on the knowledge base. The quality range of the results shows that a knowledge base of 10 patterns may not cover all appearing rhythmic structures. Evaluating only 30 s of a track may also have influenced the results as well as the limited feature set. Thus, more features might help to improve the classification approach. Timbre information might be one of these.

5 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

We have introduced an automatic rhythm classification approach that is not limited to specific musical genres. Based on MIDI data, we used an ACF to extract possible rhythm patterns from the input. These pattern hypotheses were validated using the DFT to reduce duplicates and to ensure the efficiency of the final classification process. Correlating extracted rhythm hypotheses with patterns provided by the knowledge base performed the classification.

Our results showed that the proposed method is capable to perform a genre classification of music tracks. However, the quality of the results is highly dependent on the content of the knowledge base.

In future works we plan to extend our knowledge base to cover more musical genres. Thus, it is also intended to examine the general applicability of our method. Further future works include improvements of the discretization method, i.e. improved alignment of the grid to the content. Furthermore, we plan to extend our approach by adding beat detection, thus, considering the detected meter as feature for the classification process. In addition, we also plan to study the influence of timbre on rhythm classification. Further evaluations will also be performed.

Limitations that were introduced in order to limit computational effort will also be removed in future works. We plan to investigate parallelization approaches as well as distributed processing methods.

REFERENCES

Collins, N. (2005), A Comparison of Sound Onset Detection Algorithms with Emphasis on Psychoacoustically Motivated Detection Functions. In *Audio Engineering Society 118th Int. Conv.*

- Ellis, D. and Arroyo, J. (2004), Eigenrhythms: Drum pattern basis sets for classification and generation. In *ISMIR*.
- Kramme, H. E. & Kiesant, G. (1981), *Das Schlagzeug in Pop, Rock und Jazz*. Leipzig, Germany: VEB Deutscher Verlag für Musik Leipzig.
- Murakami, Y. and Miura, M. (2008), Automatic classification of drum-rhythm patterns employed in popular music. In *ICMPC*. p. 450-454.
- Ono, N.; Miyamoto, K.; Kameoka, H. and Sagayama, S. (2008), A Real-time Equalizer of Harmonic and Percussive Components in Music Signals. In *ISMIR*. p. 139-144.
- Paulus, J. and Klapuri, A. (2002), Measuring the similarity of rythmic patterns. In *ISMIR*. p. 150-156.
- Peeters, G. (2011), Spectral and Temporal Periodicity Representations of Rhythm for the Automatic Classification of Music Audio Signal. *IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech & Language Processing* 19 (5). p. 1242-1252.
- Pfleiderer, M. (2006), *Rhythmus: psychologische, theoretische und stilanalytische Aspekte populärer Musik.* Bielefeld, Germany: transcript.
- Rauber, A., Pampalk, E. and Merkl, D., (2002), Using
 Psycho-Acoustic Models and Self-Organizing Maps to Create a Hierarchical Structuring of Music by Sound Similarity. In *ISMIR*. p. 71-80.
- Seifert, F. and Rentzsch, M. (2010), Generic modeling of music for computational education and recognition of song variations. In *IEEE ICCIT*. p. 1046-1051.
- Sethares, W. A., (2007), *Rhythms and Transforms*, London, Springer-Verlag.
- Tsunoo, E.; Ono, N. and Sagayama, S. (2009), Rhythm map: Extraction of unit rhythmic patterns and analysis of rhythmic structure from music acoustic signals. In *ICASSP*. IEEE. p. 185-188.
- Tzanetakis, G. and Cook, P. (2002), Musical genre classification of audio signals. *IEEE Transactions on Speech and Audio Processing*. 10 (5). p. 293-302.