

BOUNDS FOR THE SOLUTION OF DISCRETE COUPLED LYAPUNOV EQUATION

Adam Czornik

*Silesian Technical University, Department of Automatic Control and Robotics
Akademicka Street 16, 44-100 Gliwice, Poland*

Aleksander Nawrat

*Silesian Technical University, Department of Automatic Control and Robotics
Akademicka Street 16, 44-100 Gliwice, Poland*

Keywords: Coupled Lyapunov equation, jump linear systems, eigenvalues bounds.

Abstract: Upper and lower matrix bounds for the solution of the discrete time coupled algebraic Lyapunov equation for linear discrete-time system with Markovian jumps in parameters are developed. The bounds of the maximal, minimal eigenvalues, the summation of eigenvalues, trace and determinant are also given.

1 INTRODUCTION

It is well known that algebraic Lyapunov and Riccati equations are widely applied to various engineering areas including different problems in signal processing and, especially, control theory. In the area of control system analysis and design, these equations play crucial role in system stability and boundedness analysis, optimal and robust controllers and filters design, the transient behavior estimates, etc. During the past two decades many bounds for the solution of various types of algebraic Lyapunov and Riccati equation have been reported. The surveys of such results can be found in (Mori and Derese, 1984), (Komaroff, 1996), (Kwon et al., 1996), (Czornik and Nawrat, 2000). The reasons that the problem to estimate upper and lower bounds of these equations has become an attractive topic are that the bounds are also applied to solve many control problems such as stability analysis (Lee et al., 1995), (Patel and Toda, 1980), time-delay system controller design (Mori et al., 1983), estimation of the minimal cost and the suboptimal controller design (Langholz, 1979), convergence of numerical algorithms (Allwright, 1980), robust stabilization problem (Boukas et al., 1997). Eigenvalue bounds can be also used to determine whether or not the system under consideration possesses the singularly perturbed structure (Gajic and Qureshi, 1995). An excellent motivation to study the bounds for Lyapunov equation is given in (Gajic and Qureshi, 1995) (Section 2.2). The authors advocated the results in this area by saying that sometimes we are just interested in the general behavior of the underlying system and then the behav-

ior can be determined by examining certain bounds on the parameters of the solution instead of the full solution.

Considering the linear dynamical systems with Markovian jumps in parameter values, which have recently attracted a great deal of interest, instead of one equation a set of coupled algebraic equations arises. They are called coupled algebraic Riccati and coupled Lyapunov equation. All the reasons mentioned above could be repeated to show how the bounds for coupled algebraic Lyapunov equations can be used. Bounds for the coupled Riccati equation have been already obtained in (Czornik and Swierniak, 2001a) and (Czornik and Swierniak, 2001b). To our knowledge this paper is the first where the bounds for coupled algebraic Lyapunov equations are established.

The eigenvalues $\lambda_i(X)$, where $i = 1, \dots, n$, of a symmetric matrix $X \in R^{n \times n}$ are assumed to be arranged such that

$$\lambda_1(X) \geq \lambda_2(X) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(X).$$

When we consider the discrete time jump linear system the following discrete coupled algebraic Lyapunov equation (DCALE) arises (Chizeck et al., 1986):

$$P_i = Q_i + A_i' F_i A_i \quad (1)$$

where

$$F_i = \sum_{j \in S} p_{ij} P_j \quad (2)$$

and $A_i, Q_i, P_i \in R^{n \times n}$, $p_{ij} \in [0, 1]$, $\sum_{j \in S} p_{ij} = 1$, $i \in S$, S is a finite set. The numbers p_{ij} are the transitions probabilities of a Markov chain.

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 1 (Marshall and Olkin, 1979) Let $X, Y \in R^{n \times n}$ with $X = X', Y = Y', X, Y \geq 0$. Then the following inequalities hold

$$\lambda_{i+j-1}(XY) \leq \lambda_i(X)\lambda_j(Y), \text{ if } i+j \leq n+1 \quad (3)$$

$$\lambda_{i+j-n}(XY) \geq \lambda_i(X)\lambda_j(Y), \text{ if } i+j \geq n+1 \quad (4)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(X+Y) \leq \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(X) + \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(Y) \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(X+Y) \geq \\ & \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(X) + \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(Y). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

2 MAIN RESULTS

The next theorem contains the main result of the paper.

Theorem 2 For the eigenvalues $\lambda_k(P_i)$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, $i \in S$ of positive definite solution $P_i, i \in S$ of DCALE (1), the following inequalities hold

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(P_i) & \leq \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(Q_i) + \left(\max_{j \in S} p_{ij} \right) \lambda_1(A_i A_i') \cdot \\ & \frac{\sum_{i \in S} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(Q_i)}{1 - \max_{j \in S} \lambda_1(A_j A_j') \max_{j \in S} \sum_{i \in S} p_{ij}} = \\ & = \alpha(l, i), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

for $l = 1, \dots, n$, if $\max_{j \in S} \lambda_1(A_j A_j') \max_{j \in S} \sum_{i \in S} p_{ij} < 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(P_i) \geq \\ & \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(Q_i) + \left(\min_{j \in S} p_{ij} \right) \min_{j \in S} \lambda_n(A_j A_j') \\ & \frac{\sum_{i \in S} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(Q_i)}{1 - \min_{j \in S} \lambda_n(A_j A_j') \min_{j \in S} \sum_{i \in S} p_{ij}} = \\ & = \beta(l, i), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

for $l = 1, \dots, n$, if $\min_{j \in S} \lambda_n(A_j A_j') \min_{j \in S} \sum_{i \in S} p_{ij} < 1$.

Proof. From (1) it follows, by using (5) and (3), that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(P_i) & \leq \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(Q_i) + \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(A_i' F_i A_i) = \\ & = \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(Q_i) + \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(F_i A_i A_i') \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(Q_i) + \lambda_1(A_i A_i') \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(F). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Applying (5) to (2) leads to

$$\sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(F) \leq \sum_{j \in S} \left(p_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(P_j) \right). \quad (10)$$

Combining (9) with (10) yields to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(P_i) & \leq \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(Q_i) + \\ & + \max_{j \in S} \lambda_1(A_j A_j') \sum_{j \in S} \left(p_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(P_j) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Summing the above inequality over $i \in S$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in S} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(P_i) & \leq \sum_{i \in S} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(Q_i) \\ & + \max_{j \in S} \lambda_1(A_j A_j') \sum_{i, j \in S} \left(p_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(P_j) \right) = \\ & \sum_{i \in S} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(Q_i) + \max_{j \in S} \lambda_1(A_j A_j') \cdot \\ & \cdot \sum_{j \in S} \left(\left(\sum_{i \in S} p_{ij} \right) \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(P_j) \right) \leq \\ & \sum_{i \in S} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(Q_i) + \max_{j \in S} \lambda_1(A_j A_j') \cdot \\ & \cdot \left(\max_{j \in S} \sum_{i \in S} p_{ij} \right) \sum_{i \in S} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(P_i). \end{aligned}$$

Solving this inequality respect to $\sum_{i \in S} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(P_i)$ and taking into account that

$$\max_{j \in S} \lambda_1(A_j A_j') \max_{j \in S} \sum_{i \in S} p_{ij} < 1$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{i \in S} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(P_i) \leq$$

$$\frac{\sum_{i \in S} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(Q_i)}{1 - \max_{j \in S} \lambda_1(A_j A'_j) \max_{j \in S} \sum_{i \in S} p_{ij}}. \quad (12)$$

(9) implies also that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(P_i) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(Q_i) + \\ \lambda_1(A_i A'_i) &\left(\sum_{j \in S} \left(p_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(P_j) \right) \right) \leq \\ &\sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(Q_i) + \left(\max_{j \in S} p_{ij} \right) \cdot \\ &\cdot \lambda_1(A_i A'_i) \sum_{j \in S} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k(P_j). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Applying (12) on the right hand side of (13) we have (7).

To proof (8) let's observe that the use of (6) and (4) to (1) to gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(P_i) &\geq \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(Q_i) + \\ &\sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(A'_i F_i A_i) = \\ &\sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(Q_i) + \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(F_i A_i A'_i) \geq \\ &\sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(Q_i) + \lambda_n(A_i A'_i) \cdot \\ &\sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(F_i) \geq \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(Q_i) + \\ &\min_{j \in S} \lambda_n(A_j A'_j) \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(F_i). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Summing (14) over $i \in S$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in S} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(P_i) &\geq \sum_{i \in S} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(Q_i) + \\ &\min_{j \in S} \lambda_n(A_j A'_j) \sum_{i \in S} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(F_i). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Applying (6) to (2) leads to

$$\sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(F_i) \geq \sum_{j \in S} p_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(P_j). \quad (16)$$

Combining (15) with (16) yields to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in S} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(P_i) &\geq \sum_{i \in S} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(Q_i) + \\ &\min_{j \in S} \lambda_n(A_j A'_j) \cdot \\ &\cdot \sum_{i \in S} \left(\sum_{j \in S} p_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(P_j) \right) = \\ &\sum_{i \in S} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(Q_i) + \min_{j \in S} \lambda_n(A_j A'_j) \cdot \\ &\cdot \sum_{j \in S} \left(\left(\sum_{i \in S} p_{ij} \right) \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(P_j) \right) \geq \\ &\sum_{i \in S} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(Q_i) + \min_{j \in S} \lambda_n(A_j A'_j) \cdot \\ &\cdot \min_{j \in S} \sum_{i \in S} p_{ij} \sum_{i \in S} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(P_i). \end{aligned}$$

Solving this inequality with respect to $\sum_{i \in S} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(P_i)$ and taking into account that

$$\min_{j \in S} \lambda_n(A_j A'_j) \min_{j \in S} \sum_{i \in S} p_{ij} < 1$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in S} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(P_i) &\geq \\ &\frac{\sum_{i \in S} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(Q_i)}{1 - \min_{j \in S} \lambda_n(A_j A'_j) \min_{j \in S} \sum_{i \in S} p_{ij}}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Combining (14) and (16) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(P_i) &\geq \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(Q_i) + \left(\min_{j \in S} p_{ij} \right) \cdot \\ &\cdot \min_{j \in S} \lambda_n(A_j A'_j) \sum_{i \in S} \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{n-k+1}(P_i). \end{aligned}$$

Applying (17) to the right hand side of the above inequality we obtain (8). ■

Using the Theorem 2 we can establish the following general matrix bound for the solution of DCALE (1).

Theorem 3 For the positive definite solution $P_i, i \in S$ of DCALE (1) we have

$$P_i \leq \left(\sum_{j \in S} p_{ij} \alpha(1, j) \right) A'_i A_i + Q_i, \quad (18)$$

if $\max_{j \in S} \lambda_1(A_j A_j') \max_{j \in S} \sum_{i \in S} p_{ij} < 1$ and

$$P_i \geq \left(\sum_{j \in S} p_{ij} \beta(1, j) \right) A_i' A_i + Q_i \quad (19)$$

if $\min_{j \in S} \lambda_n(A_j A_j') \min_{j \in S} \sum_{i \in S} p_{ij} < 1$, where $\alpha(1, j)$

and $\beta(1, j)$ are given in Theorem 2.

Proof. In (Rugh, 1993) it has been shown that for any symmetric matrix $T \in R^{n \times n}$ and $x \in R^n$

$$\lambda_n(T)x'x \leq x'Tx \leq \lambda_1(T)x'x.$$

Using this inequality to (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{j \in S} p_{ij} \lambda_n(P_j) \right) A_i' A_i + Q_i &\leq P_i \leq \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j \in S} p_{ij} \lambda_1(P_j) \right) A_i' A_i + Q_i. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this inequality with (7) and (8) for $l = 1$ we get the conclusions of the theorem. ■

From Theorem 3 on the obvious way the bounds for $\det(P_i)$, $tr(P_i)$, $\lambda_i(P)$ can be obtained and they are collected in the next Remark.

Remark 1 For the positive definite solution $P_i, i \in S$ of DCALE (1) we have

$$tr(P_i) \leq \left(\sum_{j \in S} p_{ij} \alpha(1, j) \right) tr(A_i' A_i) + tr(Q_i),$$

$$\det(P_i) \leq \det \left[\left(\sum_{j \in S} p_{ij} \alpha(1, j) \right) (A_i' A_i) + Q_i \right],$$

$$\lambda_k(P_i) \leq \lambda_k \left[\left(\sum_{j \in S} p_{ij} \alpha(1, j) \right) (A_i' A_i) + Q_i \right],$$

if $\max_{j \in S} \lambda_1(A_j A_j') \max_{j \in S} \sum_{i \in S} p_{ij} < 1$ and

$$tr(P_i) \geq \left(\sum_{j \in S} p_{ij} \beta(1, j) \right) tr(A_i' A_i) + tr(Q_i)$$

$$\det(P_i) \geq \det \left[\left(\sum_{j \in S} p_{ij} \beta(1, j) \right) (A_i' A_i) + Q_i \right]$$

$$\lambda_k(P_i) \geq \lambda_k \left[\left(\sum_{j \in S} p_{ij} \beta(1, j) \right) tr(A_i' A_i) + Q_i \right],$$

if $\min_{j \in S} \lambda_n(A_j A_j') \min_{j \in S} \sum_{i \in S} p_{ij} < 1$. Where $\alpha(1, j)$

and $\beta(1, j)$ are given in Theorem 2.

Now we have bounds of $\lambda_1(P_i)$ and $tr(P_i)$ in Theorem 2 and in Corollary 1 similar for the lower bounds of $\lambda_n(P_i)$ and $tr(P_i)$, but in general is difficult to say which one are better, however the example presented in the next section suggests that the bounds from Theorem 3 can be better.

3 NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

Consider the following fourth-order jump linear system with three switching modes (Gajic and Qureshi, 1995): $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$

$$[p_{ij}]_{i,j \in S} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0.3 & 0.6 \\ 0.5 & 0.25 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0.3 & 0.7 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0667 & 0.0665 & 0.0844 & -0.2257 \\ 0.1383 & -0.1309 & 0.0797 & 0.1162 \\ 0.0658 & 0.0298 & 0.0645 & -0.1018 \\ -0.2283 & 0.2438 & -0.1990 & 0.2997 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1885 & -0.3930 & -0.0894 & -0.1919 \\ -0.4230 & 0.3598 & -0.1224 & -0.1548 \\ 0.0350 & -0.1950 & -0.1967 & -0.1017 \\ -0.2648 & -0.2440 & -0.0542 & 0.0484 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2746 & 0.0634 & 0.3414 & -0.0692 \\ 0.0796 & 0.4167 & 0.0283 & -0.1207 \\ -0.1607 & 0.0344 & -0.2227 & 0.1617 \\ 0.1175 & -0.2969 & 0.4149 & 0.3314 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q_1 = Q_2 = Q_3 = I_4.$$

For the solution P_1, P_2, P_3 we have

$$\lambda_1(P_1) = 1.3533, \lambda_2(P_1) = 1.1182, \lambda_3(P_1) = 1.0124,$$

$$\lambda_4(P_1) = 1.0000,$$

$$\lambda_1(P_2) = 1.7003, \lambda_2(P_2) = 1.2309, \lambda_3(P_2) = 1.0979,$$

$$\lambda_4(P_2) = 1.0104,$$

$$\lambda_1(P_3) = 1.6385, \lambda_2(P_3) = 1.3763, \lambda_3(P_3) = 1.0665,$$

$$\lambda_4(P_3) = 1.0019,$$

(7) and (8) give the following bounds

$$\lambda_1(P_1) \leq 3.5806, \lambda_4(P_1) \geq 1$$

$$\lambda_1(P_2) \leq 4.7421, \lambda_4(P_2) \geq 1$$

$$\lambda_1(P_3) \leq 6.2760, \lambda_4(P_3) \geq 1$$

which are not satisfying. However (18) and gives

$$1.0000 \leq \lambda_4(P_1) \leq 1.0000, 1.0101 \leq \lambda_3(P_1) \leq 1.0562,$$

$$1.0809 \leq \lambda_2(P_1) \leq 1.4486, 1.2928 \leq \lambda_1(P_1) \leq 2.6237,$$

$$1.0098 \leq \lambda_4(P_2) \leq 1.0445, 1.0807 \leq \lambda_3(P_2) \leq 1.3667,$$

$$1.2054 \leq \lambda_2(P_2) \leq 1.9334, 1.5095 \leq \lambda_1(P_2) \leq 3.3156,$$

$$1.0014 \leq \lambda_4(P_3) \leq 1.0082, 1.0550 \leq \lambda_3(P_3) \leq 1.3196,$$

$$1.3130 \leq \lambda_2(P_3) \leq 2.8202, 1.5134 \leq \lambda_1(P_3) \leq 3.9861.$$

4 CONCLUSION

Upper and lower matrix bounds for the solution of DCALE have been developed. By these bounds, the corresponding eigenvalue bounds (i.e. for each eigenvalues including the extreme ones, the trace and the determinant) have been defined in turn.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work has been supported by KBN grant No 0 T00B 029 29 and 3 T11A 029 028.

REFERENCES

- J. C. Allwright, A Lower Bound for the Solution of the Algebraic Riccati Equation of Optimal Control and a Geometric Convergence for the Kleinman Algorithm, *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 25, 826-829, 1980.
- E. K. Boukas, A. Swierniak, K. Simek and H. Yang, Robust Stabilization and Guaranteed Cost Control of Large Scale Linear Systems With Jumps, *Kybernetika*, vol. 33, 121-131, 1997.
- H. J. Chizeck, A.S. Willsky, D. Castanon, Discrete-Time Markovian-Jump Linear Quadratic Optimal Control, *International Journal of Control*, vol 43, no. 1, 213-231, 1986.
- A. Czornik, A. Nawrat, On the Bounds on the Solutions of the Algebraic Lyapunov and Riccati Equation, *Archives of Control Science*, vol. 10, no. 3-4, 197-244, 2000.
- A. Czornik, A. Swierniak, Lower Bounds on the Solution of Coupled Algebraic Riccati Equation, *Automatica*, vol. 37, no. 4, 619-624, 2001,
- A. Czornik, A. Swierniak, Upper Bounds on the Solution of Coupled Algebraic Riccati Equation, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 6, no. 4, 373-385, 2001.
- A. Gajic, M. Qureshi, Lyapunov Matrix Equation in System Stability and Control, *Mathematics in Science and Engineering*, vol. 195, Academic Press, London, 1995.
- N. Komaroff, On Bounds for the Solution of the Riccati Equation for Discrete-Time Control System, *Control and Dynamics Systems*, vol. 76, pp. 275, 311, 1996.
- W. H. Kwon, Y. S. Moon and S. C. Ahn, Bounds in Algebraic Riccati and Lyapunov Equations: Survey and Some New Results, *International Journal of Control*, vol 64, no. 3, 377-389, 1996.
- G. Langholz, A New Lower Bound on the Cost of Optimal Regulator, *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 24, 353-354, 1979.
- C. H. Lee, T.-H.S. Li, and F. C. Kung, A New Approach for the Robust Stability of Perturbed Systems with Class of Non-Commensurate Time Delays, *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems -I*, vol. 40, 605-608, 1995.
- A. W. Marshall and I. Olkin, *Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and its Applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- T. Mori, E. Noldus, M. Kuwahara, A Way to Stabilizable Linear System With Delayed State, *Automatica*, vol. 19, 571-574, 1983.
- T. Mori and I. A. Derese, A Brief Summary of the Bounds on the Solution of the Algebraic Matrix Equations in Control Theory, *International Journal of Control*, vol 39, no. 2, 247-256, 1984.
- R. V. Patel and M. Toda, Quantitative Measures of Robustness for Multivariable Systems, *Proceedings of the Joint Automatic Control Conference*, San Francisco, TP8-A, 1980.
- W. J. Rugh, *Linear System Theory*, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1993.