HRV Computation as Embedded Software

George Manis

University of Ioannina Dept. of Computer Science P.O. Box 1186, Ioannina, 45110, Greece

Abstract. The heart rate signal contains useful information about the condition of the human heart which cannot be extracted without the use of an information processing system. Various techniques for the analysis of the heart rate variability (HRV) have been proposed, derived from diverse scientific fields. In this paper we examine theoretically and experimentally the most commonly used algorithms as well as some other interesting approaches for the computation of heart rate variability from the point of view of the embedded software development. The selected algorithms are compared for their efficiency, the complexity, the size of the object code, the memory requirements, the power consumption, the real time response and the simplicity of their interfaces. Figures giving a rough image of the capability of each algorithm to classify the subjects into two distinct groups presenting high and low heart rate variability are also presented, using data acquired from young and elderly subjects.¹

1 Introduction

Heart rate variability is a research topic which constitutes the interest of many researchers causing the number of publications in the field to increase day by day and different algorithms and application methods to be examined. Heart rate variability refers to the beat-to-beat alterations in heart rate. Various analysis methods have been proposed which can be categorized as statistical, geometrical, frequency and time decomposition analysis methods as well as non-linear ones. Guidelines for standards and measurement are summarized in [1]. A summary of measures and models is presented in [2]. A review article examining the physiological origins and mechanisms of heart rate can be found in [3].

Embedded systems are small computing components designed to constitute part of other, larger systems, e.g. electrical appliances, medical devices, etc. They consist of software modules usually running on low-cost processors dedicated to perform specific tasks; for example the task which controls the anti-block system of a car can be assigned to a specific processor. Algorithms developed for such systems have different philosophy than those designed for general purpose computing systems, where powerful processors and almost unlimited resources have been assumed. The design of lightweight embedded software algorithms for the computation of HRV is an interesting

This research was funded by the European Commission and the Greek Ministry of Education through EPEAEK II

problem and to the best of the author's knowledge no previous work has been done towards this direction.

In this paper we study the most widely used algorithms for HRV analysis. As a basis the guidelines suggested in [1] are used. All methods presented there for the computation of HRV are examined. Some alternative approaches are also investigated. The intention is not to construct an embedded system which calculates the variability of the heart rate signal but study algorithms for this purpose. Thus, we work only in software level and take into consideration the effect of the software level in the system hardware.

2 **Requirements and Design Choices**

The design philosophy of an embedded system is very different from that of a conventional software application. In the latter the application developers take only very primitive decisions about the hardware or the operating system; they select the underlying architecture based on commercial criteria (number of available machines, possible customers, etc.).

Things are very different when designing an embedded system. Money still makes the world go round, but the target now is a very specific task for a very specific architecture. Decisions should be made in both hardware and software levels, the one affecting the other in a remarkably high degree. We isolate the factors that affect those decisions and are related to our problem.

It is not a new or surprising request that every information processing system has to produce accurate and reliable results. The accuracy of the computation is connected with the capability of the algorithm to classify the subjects correctly. It should be noted that the problem of selecting the best algorithm with unbiased classification is still open, lot of research has been done and is still to be done. In this paper we present the results of our experiments to give an indication of the ability of each method to classify the subjects. We used three different sets of data but only one of these sets is presented here since all results were similar.

An important factor which is of special interest and should be kept as low as possible is the financial cost. Suppose an embedded architecture which is part of a widely used electrical appliance. A small reduction in the cost may result into a huge amount of money if multiplied with the number of appliances produced. The cost of the hardware is mainly affected by the selection of the processor, and the memory capacity and speed.

The cost of the processor as well as the memory requirements depend on the complexity of the algorithm, the size of the code, the necessary space for storing data and the required system performance. We are interested in what we can do from the algorithmic point of view in order to reduce these requirements as much as possible. Thus, we redesign the algorithms and implement them in ANSI C. We use techniques like integer arithmetic, shifting instead of divisions where possible, loop unrolling, replacement of library function calls etc. and investigate all known techniques for code optimization. We evaluate the produced algorithms for accuracy again, for efficiency, for the size of the code and the memory requirements. The results are used for comparative study. In order to calculate the above characteristics for each algorithm we use the JouleTrack tool [4]. Experiments were performed for StrongARM SA-1100 processor for operating frequency of 206MHz.

Another interesting issue in the embedded system design is the ability to produce results in real time. We break this requirement into two smaller: (i) the time interval between two successive sets of input data should be enough so that all necessary calculations are completed and (ii) the system should produce output in a constant rate and for every set of input data. The second requirement is not always possible, necessary or it can be very expensive.

In a HRV system the actual input is in general the ECG signal, from which the sequence of beats are constructed by proper algorithms for detection of individual heartbeats. The rate of the heartbeats is approximately, one per second. Thus, necessary calculations for each beat should be completed in one second, plenty of time for both simple and complex algorithms. When for coherence and redundancy of results the HRV index is computed with more than one algorithm in parallel, the one second time interval may not be enough. In this case a more powerful processor and/or faster memory units might be necessary. The ability to produce results for every input beat instead of only producing the final result at the end is desirable but not necessary. The physician needs only the final value of the index after all computations have been completed. However, the capability of the algorithm to produce intermediate results is an interesting feature. As an example consider the case of 24 hours recordings, where an early approximation of the final result might be useful.

The growing importance of power consumption minimization affects drastically the design of the embedded systems, since they are often part of mobile devices which obtain energy through their batteries. The autonomy of these devices as well as the life of the battery depend on the power consumption. Power consumption is mainly affected by the selection of the processor and the memory system.

In order to have an estimation for the energy requirements we calculate the power consumption for each algorithm. Each machine code instruction consumes a different amount of power: indexed access to memory is more expensive than the direct one, multiplications are mode expensive than additions etc. We calculate the power consumption of each algorithm using again the JouleTrack tool [4].

Another factor that affects the financial cost and the power consumption is the interface of the software with the rest of the embedded system. The device that the physician will use must provide the maximum information, however, sometimes it is possible to simplify the application interface without affecting significantly the functionality, for example a numerical display can sometimes substitute a graphical screen. The communication of an embedded software with the rest of the system is usually done through specific hardware. Generally this is not expensive, however it should be kept to minimal. In the HRV computation problem some algorithms produce only a single number as an output while some other require a high definition graphical interface.

Finally, embedded systems are of low weight and small size. Mobile telephones are typical examples where size and weight seems to be a challenge and affect drastically the commercial value of the product. Small program size, low memory requirements, low power consumption and simple application interface result into smaller and lighter chips and batteries. Day by day, the cost of the hardware is significantly reduced, processors are becoming more and more powerful and memory capacities larger, while batteries are getting smaller and smaller and much lighter. However, the need for efficient, lightweight, costeffective algorithms producing accurate and reliable results remains and will always be interesting. Especially in problems like the HRV analysis, which finds application in the development of wearable devices as well, the cost, the size and weight will always be a challenge.

3 Methods

In [1] the most common methods for HRV analysis are presented. Examined here are the standard deviation of RR intervals (SDNN), the standard deviation of the average RR interval calculated in over short (usually 5 min) periods (SDANN), the square root of the mean squared differences of successive RR intervals (RMSSD), the number successive RR intervals greater than *x* (usually 50ms) divided by the total number of intervals (pNNx), the standard deviation of differences between adjacent intervals (SDSD), the total number of all RR intervals divided by the height of the histogram of all NN intervals (TI), the baseline width of the minimum square difference triangular interpolation of the highest peak of the histogram of the intervals (TINN) and the power spectrum density (PSD). We also examine the local linear prediction (LLP) and least squared approximation (LSA) which calculate the average prediction and approximation errors of the timeseries [5] and the local fast approximation (LFA) which approximates the signal with less accuracy but faster than LSA. Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) analyzes the signal using wavelets and calculates the standard deviation in every scale of analysis [2].

4 Implementation Issues

Several techniques for reducing the requirements of the embedded software development were used in implementation level. The most interesting ones will be discussed in this section trying to show how important the implementation level is and how much it affects the system performance and the design choices at the hardware level.

We used integer arithmetic instead of a floating point one. Arithmetic operations are much less expensive when operands are integer numbers. The size of an integer in a typical processor is equal to the size of a word, usually much longer but never smaller than two bytes even in low-performance cost-effective processors. An accuracy of at least 2^{16} levels for representing data has been proved more than acceptable for our problem and does not affect the final results.

Next, we eliminated expensive operations and/or library calls. Library calls are usually expensive parts of computation, since most of the times they perform complicated operations. Moreover, the calling mechanism increases the total execution time, the memory requirements, the size of the object code and the power consumption. Although this overhead is not always significant, it should not be ignored. We eliminated function calls by modifying the algorithms where possible, or by replacing the call with other operations more lightweight and faster. Heuristic code optimization was also done depended on the the special characteristics of each algorithms. Techniques like loop unrolling, reduction of the number of memory accesses, or code size minimization can be characterized as heuristic approaches. We used the trial and error approach in the programming language level and studied the effects in the assembly level. Some optimizations led to very good results.

5 Experimental Results

In this section we will present our experimental results. We will present graphs giving indications about the capability of each method to classify subjects and tables with the comparative experimental results for each algorithm including complexity, object code size, memory and energy requirements and execution time.

	SDNN	SDANN	RMSSD	SDNNi	SDSD	pNN50	TI	TINN
Obj. code before linking (KB)	1	1	0.9	1.1	1.3	1	0.9	0.7
Obj. code after linking (KB)	27	27	27	27	27	25	19	19
Obj. code linked & optim. (KB)	18	18	18	18	18	18	19	19
Memory requirements	O(n)	O(n/k)	O(1)	O(k)	O(k+n/k)	O(1)	O(h)	O(h)
Complexity	O(n)	O(n)	O(n)	O(n)	O(n)	O(n)	O(n)	O(n)
Average complexity	O(n)	O(n)	O(n)	O(n)	O(n)	O(n)	O(n)	O(n)
Exec. time before optim. (μs)	33381	8823	25541	33834	48269	17481	7738	7767
Exec. time after optim. (μs)	33381	2597	2319	3246	3154	2756	7738	7767
Power cons. before optim.(μJ)	11887	3142	9095	12048	17189	6225	2756	2775
Power cons. after optim.(μJ)	11887	925	826	1156	1123	981	2756	2775
Interface	scalar	scalar	scalar	scalar	scalar	scalar	scalar	scalar
Real time response	no	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes

Table 1. Comparative experimental for various HRV measures

As mentioned in a previous section, the selection of the algorithm that categorizes the subjects in an unbiased way is still open and is out of the scope of this paper. We just present the results of our experiments to give a rough image of the classification capabilities of each algorithm.

We used three different sets of data and the results were similar. The first set consisted by 24 hours long signals from subjects with unremarkable medical histories and normal physical examinations and subjects with angiographically confirmed coronary disease. Recordings were acquired using a Holter device. The second set consisted again of control and patient subjects with coronary artery disease. Each recording was approximately 2 hours long and acquired using a digital electrocardiograph in a hospital. The experiments presented here used a third data set described in [6]. Five young (21 -34 years old) and five elderly (68 - 81 years old) rigorously-screened healthy subjects underwent 120 minutes of continuous supine resting while continuous electrocardiographic (ECG) signals were collected. All subjects provided written informed consent

SDNN	SDANN	RMSSD	SDNNi	SDSD	pNN50	TI	TINN
· · ·			, o		0	0	' 0
		0		•	1		
	•						. 8
•	-	0	+ 8	0	8	· + ·	0
+	· · · ·						
[]	- 0 -	0		o]		0	+
0	+ + -		•		0	-	0
	+	+ 0	1	+ o		. + .	+
· + ·		+	+ +	+	+ °	+	
+	+		+	+ +	+	+	+

Fig. 1. Categorization results for SDNN, SDANN, RMSSD, SDNNi, SDSD, pNN50, TI and TINN. Circles ("*o*") are for younger subjects and crosses ("+") for elderly ones

and underwent a screening history, physical examination, routine blood count and biochemical analysis, electrocardiogram, and exercise tolerance test. Only healthy, nonsmoking subjects with normal exercise tolerance test, no medical problems and taking no medication were admitted to the study. All subjects remained in a resting state in sinus rhythm while watching a movie to help maintain wakefulness. The continuous ECG was digitized at 250 Hz. Each heartbeat was annotated using an automated arrhythmia detection algorithm, and each beat annotation was verified by visual inspection. The RR interval time series for each subject was then computed. Figures 1, 2 and 3 present the classification of subjects for all investigated algorithms.

	LLP	LSA	LFA	PSD	DWT
Object code before linking (KB)	0.9	1.1	1.6	2.2	1.4
Object code after linking (KB)	25	27	26	28	27
Obj. code linked & optimized (KB)	18	19	19		
Memory requirements	O(k)	O(k)	O(k)	O(n)	O(n)
Complexity	$O(n^2)$	O(n)	O(n)	$O(nlog_2n)$	O(n)
Average complexity	O(nk)	O(n)	O(n)	$O(nlog_2n)$	O(n))
Exec. time before optimiz.(μs)	65014	132981	50384	489748	477908
Exec. time after optimiz.(μs)	2994	5292	3575		
Power consumpt. before optim. (μJ)	23151	47355	17942	174399	170183
Power consumpt. after optim.(μJ)	1066	1885	1273		
Interface	scalar	scalar	scalar	vector	vector
Real time response	yes	yes	yes	no	no

Table 2. Comparative experimental for various HRV measures



Fig. 2. Left: Categorization results for Haar Wavelets Decomposition, dotted thin lines are for elderly subjects and solid lines for younger ones. Right: Power Spectrum Density of a normal subject (up) and a subject than presents decreased heart rate variability (down), the power in the case of the elderly subject exhibits a continuous broadband spectrum

In the following, the experimental results presented in tables 1-2 will be discussed, taking into consideration the size of the object code, the memory requirements, the complexity, the execution time, the power consumption, the interface and the ability to response in real time. Experiments were performed for StrongARM SA-1100 processor for operating frequency of 206MHz using the JouleTrack tool[4].

The size of the object code is investigated before and after linking as well as after the optimization. The size of the code before linking is interesting in the case in which more than one HRV indices are implemented in embedded software. The optimized code results from the application of the optimization techniques presented in a previous section. The differences appearing in tables 1-2 vary from 0.9KB to 2.2KB before linking and from 18KB to 19KB after linking and optimization.

The complexity of the algorithms is O(n) in most of the cases, where n is the size of the signal. An exception to the rule is the *LLP* algorithm which presents a relatively high complexity $O(n^2)$. However the average complexity is only O(nk), where k is the size of the sliding window and can be reduced to O(n) when the calculation of the predicted value uses the value of the previously predicted point. The *PSD* calculation present a complexity of O(nlogn).

The memory requirements have been computed in a similar way. The algorithms SDNN, PSD and DWT need to store the whole signal in an array, thus the spacial complexity is O(n). The calculation of the indices SDNNi, LLP, LSA, LFA need to store only a vector with size k, equal to the size of the sliding window. The SDANN metric requires O(n/k), since we need to store one value for each sliding window. The same with SDSD, or more precisely $O(k + \frac{n}{k})$, since we also have to keep a vector with the sliding window. TI and TINN use a vector of length h to store the histogram,



Fig. 3. Categorization results for LLP, LSA and LFA. Circles ("*o*") are for younger subjects and crosses ("+") for elderly ones

where h is the size of the vector that stores the histogram. The memory required for the calculation of the RMSSD and pNNx indices is constant and independent from the size of the input or the parameters of the algorithm.

Experimental results for the execution time and the power consumption are also presented before and after optimizations. The size of the input is 8192 samples and the same input has been used for all algorithms. The differences for both execution times and power consumption presented in tables 1-2 are sometimes remarkable. As long as the interface of the system is concerned, all algorithms return a single value as a result except the time and frequency analysis methods as shown in table 2, which produce a vector of values. For these algorithms a graphical interface would also be useful. Apart from the SDNN, PSD and DWT which require that the whole signal is available before the first output is produced, all other algorithms can be considered as real time.

References

- European Society of Cardiology: Heart rate variability, standards of measurement, physiological interpretation and clinical use. European Heart Journal 17 (1996) 354–381
- 2. Teich, M., Lowen, S., Vibe-Rheymer, K., Heneghan, C.: Heart rate variability: Measures and models. In: Nonlinear Biomedical Signal Processing Vol. II, Dynamic Analysis and Modelling, New York (2001) 159–213
- Berntson, G., Bigger, J., Eckberg, D., Grossman, P., Kaufmann, P., Malik, M., Nagaraja, H., Porges, S., Saul, J., van der Molen, P.S.M.: Heart rate variability: Origins, methods, and interpretive caveats. Psychophysiology 34 (1997) 623–648
- Sinha, A., Chandrakasan, A.: Jouletrack a web based tool for software energy profiling. In: Design Automation Conference. (2001) 220–225
- Manis, G., Alexandridi, A., Nikolopoulos, S.: Diagnosis of cardiac pathology through prediction and approximation methods. In: Seventh International Symposium on Signal Processing and its Applications, Paris, France (2003)
- Iyengar, N., Peng, C.K., Morin, R., Goldberger, A., Lipsitz, L.: Age-related alterations in the fractal scaling of cardiac interbeat interval dynamics. Am J Physiol 271 (1996) 1078–1084