# EMBEDDED ROBOTIC CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES AND ITS APPLICATIONS IN AUTOMATED PROGRAMMERS

Ganwen Zeng, Kelly Hirsch

Data I/O Corporation,, 10525 Willows Road NE, Redmond, WA 98052, USA

Keywords: Device Programming, Robotics, Motion control, Fuzzy control, Firmware, Embedded Software, Hardware.

Abstract: The paper presents a synthesis of the problematic and actual solutions to the implementation of robotic programmer control functionality using DSP controllers. Considerable technology shift occurred during the recently decade in device programming industry. The advent of high performance DSP motion controllers opens new possibilities for the development of high performance distributed intelligence device-programming automation systems. The idea of implementing a unique, flexible robotic motion control structure can significantly improve controllability of the robotic programming systems. High-level motion command languages are used to setup and to control the robotic motors. A Fuzzy control algorithm has been introduced to guarantee the motion control performance in an automated programmer.

#### **1 INTRODUCTION**

Automated programming systems available today are able to fully automate device programming and to fully integrate programming testing, device handling, and labelling programmable devices. It improves the productivity, quality and flexibility of a semiconductor production process.

performance motor motion control High precision and high level of integration is continuously increasing, and the clear trend is completely integrated intelligent towards programming system. This paper describes an embedded intelligent programming automation system that uses a Motorola free scale 32-bit architecture MPC8xx PowerPC embedded processor as a host machine. The fuzzy control has been designed for a robotic motion control subsystems using the Motorola 32-bit architecture 68376 digital signal processor (DSP), and the high speed robotic motion digital control system has been realised.

The system hardware is implemented using the MPC8xx PowerPC and Motorola 68376 DSP. The control task of the system, except programming devices, includes the following components:

(vi) Device feeding tape control

(vii) Belt transportation motion control

A high level of integration of system firmware and embedded software has been proposed on different real-time operating systems (RTOS) for modern programming automation. Control software can be developed to utilize DSP pulse width modulation (PWM) and quadrature decoding capabilities of the 68376's TPU (Timer Processor Unit). Source Code can be also developed to make use of the processor's TouCAN (Controller Area Network) and QADC (Queued Analog to Digital Converter) modules. The embedded software is realized in C++ language with Object Oriented Programming techniques (OOP). Main advantages of this choice are the easy software maintenance and reutilization, as well as its uniformity in terms of internal organisation compared with the system hardware module architecture. A fuzzy control algorithm is designed for precision motion control. Timing information is presented which shows how the robotic shaft torque functions could be implemented. One use case of the designed embedded robotic control technologies could be the DATA I/O ProMaster 3000 automated programming system (see Figure 1). The ProMaster 3000 has been designed by DATA I/O for flexibility. Pick-andplace heads can rotate devices, so programming and labelling proceed without interruption regardless of device orientation in the tubes. A high-density, thermal printer quickly prints and applies device

<sup>(</sup>i) Robotic task space configuration

<sup>(</sup>ii) Robotic head home probing

<sup>(</sup>iii) Head dynamic motion control

<sup>(</sup>iv) Programming device picking control

<sup>(</sup>v) Programming device placing control

Zeng G. and Hirsch K. (2005).

EMBEDDED ROBOTIC CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES AND ITS APPLICATIONS IN AUTOMATED PROGRAMMERS.

In Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Informatics in Control, Automation and Robotics, pages 279-283 Copyright © SciTePress

labels, available in a wide variety of materials and sizes, in one swift and precise operation.



Figure 1: ProMaster 3s000 automation system

## 2 CONTROL SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

The control system of a general robotic programmer is composed of one host commander and two main motion control subsystems DPCS (Device Positioning Control System) and DIOC (Device Input Output Control System). The DPCS is composed by 5 control units of robotic task space configuration, robotic head home probing, head dynamic motion control, device picking control and device placing control. The DIOC is composed by two control units of device feeding tape control and transportation belt motion device control. Synchronous communications can rely on the bus Ethernet and TCP/IP protocol. Asynchronous Communications between the host commander and the control subsystems can be based on the TouCAN bus or Motorola 68376 Com Ports to guarantee the space loop closure for the main axes of the robotic control system.

In the multiple robotic axis configurations of the programmer automation system, the design is to consider more and more distributed intelligence control structures. This means the use of single-axis intelligent DSP motion controllers for both DPCS and DIOC which can handle local robotic axis control function independently from the host MPC8xx PowerPC. In the ProMaster 3000 use case applications where the robotic motion handler and device transportation belt controller are equipped with different motor control technologies, the use of one single command language simplifies the complexity of the integration of control elements in the system. Based on these considerations, we are going to discuss the implementation of the basic digital motor fuzzy control functionality, including real-time operating kernel, PWM generating units,

current and motor torque control, speed/position control, and fuzzy control algorithm.

#### 2.1 Motor DSP Motion Control Hardware

The complete system consists of DC servo motors, the motor control circuit, programmer processor, and Network PC station as represented in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Block diagram of the control system of automated programmer

The Motorola 68376 DSP is the shaft control processor and carries out all the motion control and torque current loop functions. The embedded motion control software is stored on an external 16-bit Flash bootrom and is automatically loaded into the DSP's internal program RAM on power-up. The DSP boot firmware copies the program from the Flash memory to the internal RAM, initialises all data variables (look up tables, etc.), configures the DSP's QADC modules, and setups the PWM registers, and performs self-diagnostic functions.

The second part of the embedded control software contains the motion fuzzy control algorithms, which are loaded at the end of the initialisation phase. The shaft control algorithm can be timed via the interrupt pin on the DSP.

#### 2.2 Motion Control Structure

Figure 3 below illustrates a speed and torque control scheme of DC motor for the motion control of the device handler in a programmer robotic system with an independent maximum current limit setting. The quadrature decoding unit of the 69376's TPU samples the motor position and velocity, 68376's QADC analog-to-digital converter module samples the motor currents. The feedback of motor current

and motor position/velocity sensing allows for closed loop control of motor speeds. The outer position and Proportional plus Integral (PI) velocity control loop, based on the following robotic dynamics, calculates the desired voltage control error of the torque  $\Delta V_d$ , and the desired current of the torque demand  $i_d$ , which is the reference input for the current control loop.

 $\tau = M(\theta) \ddot{\theta} + V(\theta, \dot{\theta}) + G(\theta) \dots \dots (1)$ 

Where  $M(\theta)$  represents the mass matrix of the distributed robotic joint,  $V(\theta, \dot{\theta})$  is the Coriolis term of the robotic system,  $G(\theta)$  is the gravity term of the robotic system.  $\theta$  is the angular position of motor,  $\dot{\theta}$  is the angular velocity of the motor,  $\ddot{\theta}$  is the angular acceleration of the motor.

The desired voltage control error of the current  $\Delta V_i$  and the desired voltage control error of the torque  $\Delta V_q$  are fed into the nonlinear fuzzy controller. The nonlinear fuzzy control algorithm implemented on the DSP calculates the desired control voltage input  $V_{ctrl}$  for the motor. The DSP scales and then generates PWM using the 68376's TPU from the  $V_{ctrl}$ , The velocity control is achieved through varying the voltage across the terminals of a motor by the Pulse Width Modulation that is the continuous fast switching of motor voltage. By varying the duty cycle from 0% to 100%, the effective voltage across a motor can be established from a set input of PMW duty cycle ( $V_{motor}$ ). The PWM duty cycle  $V_{motor}$  is fed into the motor drive to drive the DC servo motor.



Figure 3: Motion control structure

Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) has both bipolar and unipolar topologies, in the motion control structure the bipolar PWM is used, which switching voltage between a positive and a negative set voltage ( $V_{motor}$ ). In a bipolar a net positive voltage across the motor can be achieved for a positive duty cycle greater than 50%. This will drive the motor forward, provided that generated torque is greater than load torque. In the opposite case, if the net voltage across the motor is negative, the motor will be driven in reverse, provided that generated torque is greater than load torque. If the duty cycle is maintained at 50% the motor will remain stationary, provided there is no load torque applied. PWM is implemented in the motor drive circuit by the use of an H-bridge. A simplified H-bridge configuration is shown in Figure 4. By varying switch states, the motor can be placed in the following states:

(i) Driving forward (T1 on, T4 on) (ii) Driving in reverse (T2 on, T3 on) (iii) Braked to ground (T3 on, T4 on) (iv) Braked to  $V_{motor}$  (T1 on, T2 on)

(v) Neutral/ Floating (all switches off)

Bipolar switching only makes use of states II and I.



Figure 4: A simplified H-bridge configuration

In order to sense small motor currents (less than 100mA), which can be difficult to be sensed in a noisy environment such as brush noise due to the make/break characteristic of brush commutation, and torque ripple due to gearing effects; a custom amplifier was built. That is properly scaled for the expected motor currents, includes low-pass filtering of sensed current before performing an A/D conversion of the current feedback signal. The second order active filter is used to reduce the current feedback noise, which would otherwise be amplified by the feedback circuit and cause oscillations in motor speed.

## 2.3 Motion Control Hierarchy

The robotic control system presented in this paper will implement, through the above specified hardware and the corresponding embedded software modules, the hierarchical robotic motion control architecture shown in Figure 5. The are four lowlevel modules, i.e., the H-Bridge, PWM Generator, Nonlinear Fuzzy Control and Position/Velocity PI Control blocks, will implement the basic motor control functionality. The high-level modules, i.e., the feedback Signals Measurement, Reference Generator, Motion Language Definer and Communication Message Queue blocks, will implement the motion control functionality.

All these modules are interconnected within a hierarchical model, the output of one module being the input of the subsequent low-level module. All the modules are fit into the Motorola 68376 DSP micro-controller that would play the role of a "Motion Control Chip".



Figure 5: Motion control hierarchy

## 2.4 Integrated Intelligent Programmer Control System

As discussed in the above Figure 2, both the DPCS and the DIOC are designed as a distributed intelligence control structure independently. This means that the use of single-axis intelligent controllers which handle the axis of the DPCS and the axis of the DIOC independently from the programmer host. This highly flexible solution for the robotic programmer system, and easily parameterised for the distributed control of the motor and the programming of Devices, by uses of single robotic command language and programmer command language, it makes the programmer system to run at non-specialist level. This demands a completely integrated intelligent programmer (see Figure 6), which covers the implementation of the basic motor control functionality and the high-level modules of the "Motion Control Chip" as shown in Figure 5, and the implementation of programming functionality through single programmer command language.



Figure 6: An integrated intelligent programmer

The integrated intelligent programmer consists of three layers of integration, i.e., the robotic computer control layer, the robotics and mechanics layer, and the intelligent programming layer. The motion control functionality will be implemented in the robotic computer control layer; and the device programming functionality will be implemented in the intelligent programming layer. The robotics and mechanics layer will configure all the mechanical requirements of the transportation of the device input and output, the device picking and placing handling, and the device sockets stations. There are two distributed robotic control subsystems; i.e., the distributed DIOC motion control chip and the distributed DPCS motion control chip in an integrated intelligent programmer. The intelligent programming layer communicates with the device sockets station in the robotics and mechanics layer through the device programming interface, and it communicates with each distributed motion control chip in the robotic computer control layer through host communication interface. The motion control functionality covers the control system BIOS (Basic Input Output System), RTOS kernel, intelligent device drivers, DSP control, nonlinear Fuzzy control, motor drives, and robotic joint motor. The device programming functionality covers the programmer BIOS, RTOS kernel, the intelligent device drivers, the programmer firmware, the embedded software, the common command sets, the application and communication. The common commands of non-specialist level allow an easy implementation of a device programming application in end-customer level using an integrated intelligent programmer. This structure will eliminate

the need to write programming software for the final user, it also eliminates the need of write DSP code for robotic motion control. In such cases, the device will be easily programmed though only setting device specific parameters and giving non-specialist common commands to the system. A set of common language instruction can be defined from a final user. The common command language syntax is a mixture between simple memorics similar to assembler and C language, for instance:

Devices: DEV PROG; -- program device DEV ERASE; -- erase device DEV LOAD; -- load the content of a device Etc. Configuration: STA NO 5; -- set the programming stations 5 SCOKET NO 8; -- set the socket number 8 Etc. Motion:

ROBOT POS; -- set the robotic position profile mode ROBOT SPD; -- set the robotic speed mode HEAD HOME; -- set the end-effector home mode Etc.

Even if such a language is very advanced and simplifies all the device programming task of the end user of the programmer. For a user-friendly operation environment, we can build a completely graphical development tools, accompanied by code generators, thus eliminating the need to write the code of these command sets. This user-friendly application interfaces (GUI) can be easily built on a ColdFusion application dynamic web server, the designed ColdFusion Components (CFCs) in GUI framework provide a way to encapsulate device parameter data and device programming functions in an object-like manner with inheritance. An end user of an automated programmer can easily produce any device programming supports only by clicking button and filling parameters on Internet remotely or a local computer.

# **3** CONCLUSION

In this automated intelligent programmer control system, the position sensor is needed to measure the motor angular position; the speed can be estimated based on position information. The speed control accuracy of the control system is up to 1/2000 maximum speed in the automations, measured in LabVIEW 7.0 Professional Development System (PDS).

Based on the nonlinear fuzzy control technologies and intelligent integrated automation strategies for an intelligent programmer application, applied at embedded system firmware, embedded software and hardware architecture, together with advanced DSP micro-controller, this new control system solution offers many advantages:

(i) Simple self-generation of device programming embedded software in a user-friendly GUI framework using at non-specialist level, i.e., software-less device support (no much programming effort required)

(ii) Flexibility to remote users or local users for programming device support, having the biggest simplicity of the system configuration even for the complex programming services, including consistence of all the components: system hardware, BIOS, embedded system firmware, and embedded software, and high-level user application of device programming.

(iii) Implementation of distributed motion control, including a high accuracy speed digital control and current/torque control

(iv) The implementation of high robot performance in an intelligent distribution structure, the development of the OOP embedded software architecture encapsulating all controller objects and programmer objects of the automated intelligent system; and simplifying a sophisticated control model for different robot applications with the utilization of the advantages of DSP PWM.

(v) University, flexibility, high-performance of device programming; non-specialists easy access to the intelligent programmer system, and no need of debugging any embedded software and hardware, are key features of the intelligent integrated automated programmer.

# REFERENCES

- John J. Craig, 1989. Introduction to ROBOTICS Mechanics and Control, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company. New York, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition.
- William A. Wolovich, 1987. *Robotics: Basic Analysis and Design*, CBS College Publishing. New York.
- Darley S., Melear C., 1996, *An Introduction to the MC68331 and MC68332*, Motorola Inc.
- Hassan K. Khalil, 1992. *Nonlinear Systems*, Macmillan Publishing Company. New York.
- L. A. Zedeh and K. Tanaka et al., 1975. *Fuzzy Sets and their Applications to cognitive and Decision Processes*, Academic Press.
- SHIN, K. G. –MCKAY, N. D, 1985, Minimum-Time Control of Robotics Manipulators with Geometric Path Constraints. In AC-30 6, pp.531–541, *IEEE of Automatic Control.*
- SHIN, K. G, 1991. Trajectory Planning for Robot Control: A Control System Perspective, Control and Dynamic System Series, Advances in Robotics System, Academic Press Inc.